#### ELM DEVELOPMENT CORP.

#### 430 OTTAWA STREET – SITE PLAN CONTROL APPROVAL FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

<text>

### wsp



#### 430 OTTAWA STREET – SITE PLAN CONTROL APPROVAL FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

ELM DEVELOPMENT CORP.

SERVICING REPORT (REVISION 02)

PROJECT NO.: OUR REF. NO. 221-06853-00 CLIENT REF:N/A DATE: SEPTEMBER 26, 2023

WSP

2611 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE, SUITE 300 OTTAWA, ON CANADA K2B 8K2

T: +1 613 829-2800 WSP.COM

## wsp

2611 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE, SUITE 300 OTTAWA, ON CANADA K2B 8K2

T: +1 613 829-2800 wsp.com

#### SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY

Jingwei Zhang, P.Eng., M.Eng., PMP Senior Municipal Engineer, Municipal Engineering

MODIFIED BY

Ishaque Jafferjee, P.Eng. Team Lead, Municipal Engineering



WSP Canada Inc. prepared this report solely for the use of the intended recipient, ELM DEVELOPMENT CORP., in accordance with the professional services agreement. The intended recipient is solely responsible for the disclosure of any information contained in this report. The content and opinions contained in the present report are based on the observations and/or information available to WSP Canada Inc. at the time of preparation. If a third party makes use of, relies on, or makes decisions in accordance with this report, said third party is solely responsible for such use, reliance or decisions. WSP Canada Inc. does not accept responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken by said third party based on this report. This limitations statement is considered an integral part of this report.

The original of this digital file will be conserved by WSP Canada Inc. for a period of not less than 10 years. As the digital file transmitted to the intended recipient is no longer under the control of WSP Canada Inc., its integrity cannot be assured. As such, WSP Canada Inc. does not guarantee any modifications made to this digital file subsequent to its transmission to the intended recipient.

## vsp

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	0
1.1	PURPOSE OF THE REPORT	0
1.2	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	0
1.3	PROJECT AREA	1
2	WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2
2.1	WATER SYSTEM DESIGN CRITERIA	2
2.2	EXISTING WATER SYSTEM AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	2
2.3	WATER SYSTEM ANALYSIS	3
2.3.1	Phase 1 Development	5
2.3.2	Phasing Plan	5
3	SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	5
3.1	SANITARY SEWER DESIGN CRITERIA	6
3.2	SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM DESIGN	6
3.2.1	Phase 1 Development	6
3.2.2	Phasing Plan	7
3.3	EXISTING MUNICIPAL SANITARY SEWER	
	CAPACITY REVIEW	7
4	STORM SEWER AND STORMWATER	
	MANAGEMENT	7
4.1	OVERVIEW	7
4.1.1	Stormwater Management Plan Objectives	7
4.2	DESIGN CRITERIA	7
4.2.1	Rainfall Intensity	7
4.2.2	Storm Sewer Design Parameters	8
4.2.3	Runoff Coefficients and Imperviousness	8
4.3	EXISTING SITE DRAINAGE	8
4.4	POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE	9
4.4.1	Phase 1 Development	10
4.4.2	Phasing Plan	11

## wsp

QUALITY CONTROL	11
EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	12
SUMMARY	12
WATER SUPPLY SERVICING	12
SANITARY SEWER SERVICING	13
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	13
CLOSING	13
	QUALITY CONTROL

#### APPENDICES

A DRAFT PLAN BY CALLON & DIETZ (FEB 202
---

- B CIVIL DESIGN DRAWINGS
- C WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM
- D SANITARY SEWER DESIGN CALCULATION SHEET
- E STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

WSP Canada Inc. (WSP) was retained by Elm Development Corp. to complete a Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report for a site redevelopment at a property located 430 Ottawa in the Town of Mississippi Mills (Almonte), Ontario (the Development Site). As part of the site plan control approval process, a functional servicing and stormwater management report is required to identify the proposed infrastructure to service the development.

#### 1.1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This report presents the servicing scheme for the site. Design criteria, analysis, and design recommendations are identified for the following municipal infrastructure systems:

- Municipal water distribution system;
- Municipal sanitary sewer system;
- Storm sewer systems and stormwater management facilities
- Erosion and sediment control.

Servicing criteria forms, infrastructure analyses, and preferred servicing strategies are discussed in the following sections of this report.

#### 1.2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Pertinent background information obtained from previous reports and plans as it relates to this development include:

- Applicant's Study and Plan Identification List from Town of Mississippi Mills (December 2021)
- Applicant's Study and Plan Identification List from Town of Mississippi Mills (November 2022)
- The latest site plan and building elevations (Vandenberg & Wilderboer Architects, March 2023)
- The latest legal plan (Callon & Dietz, February 2023)
- The previous stormwater management report (AECOM, May 2011)
- The previous Grading & Drainage drawing (AECOM, July 2004)
- The previous Grading and Drainage drawing C01 (AECOM, November 2011)
- The previous technical memo Almonte Square Official Plan Amendment Existing Site Service (AECOM, January 2015)
- Ottawa Street Reconstruction Drawings (P-3 & P-4) (Jp2g Consultants Inc., July 2008)
- Geotechnical Investigation Report (Toronto Inspection Ltd., November 2022)
- Hydrogeological Study (Franz Environmental Inc., November 2014)
- Landscape Plan (Nak Design Strategies, March 2023)
- Master Plan Update Report Final Municipal of Mississippi Mills Almonte Ward Water and Wastewater Infrastructure (J.L.Richards & Associate Ltd., February 2018)

The onsite topographic survey has been completed by GeoVera in December 2022 with geodetic system referred to NAD83 CSRS (2010) datum for coordinates and the CGVD-1928:78 vertical datum for elevations (benchmark 0011968U069). The provided topographic plan was used to create the existing ground surface and the based site plan for this study.

#### 1.3 PROJECT AREA

The development site is located within the Almonte Ward in the Town of Mississippi Mills, approximately 45 km west of the City of Ottawa. The development site measures 2.55 ha in area and is sited on the north of Ottawa Street, approximately 250 m west of Ramsay Concession 11 A, and 40 m east of Saddler Drive.

The existing site was a commercial plaza with a L shaped building, including a number of retail stores, with paved driveways, parking lots, and grassed areas. A row of existing townhome buildings is located northwest of the development site and a coffee shop is sited in the southwest corner of the development site and followed by Sadler Street. The location of the development site is presented in Figure 1.1.



**Figure 1.1: Location of the Development Site** 

The latest Draft plan certified by Callon & Dietz, Ontario Land Surveyor, dated on February 2, 2023, is included in **Appendix A**.

The site development is proposed with two phases. Phase 1 will include a one-storey commercial building with its associated parking and driveway, located at the southwest corner of the development site. Phase 2 will include a one-storey commercial building and a four-storey residential apartment. Following sections of this report provide detailed discussions on the servicing design and the stormwater management design

for both the ultimate solution at the full development stage and the interim solution at the Phase 1 development stage.

The proposed paving, grading, and underground servicing are shown on the engineering drawings included in **Appendix B**.

#### 2 WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

A looped water distribution system will be proposed for the development site. At the full development stage, the proposed water system will include approximately 200 m of 200 mm diameter PVC DR18 watermain, four (4) fire hydrants, and two (2) connections to the existing 250 mm diameter watermain along south side of Ottawa Street. Note that all watermain and associated structures within the right-of-way will be considered municipal infrastructure post-development.

#### 2.1 WATER SYSTEM DESIGN CRITERIA

As discussed with the Town of Mississippi Mills, the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines was used to analyze and design the water distribution system. And the following design parameters and assumptions were applied for this study:

- Hazen-Williams coefficient: C = 110 (200 mm to 250 mm diameter watermain)
- Residential water consumption rate: 280 litres/capita/day[J1]
- Population density (residential): 1.8 persons / average apartment unit
- Commercial water consumption rate: 28,000 L/gross ha/day
- Peak hour factor:
  - Residential: 7.4 (from MECP Design Guideline for Drinking Water System, Table 3-3)
  - Commercial: 2.7
- Maximum day demand factor:
  - Residential: 4.9 (from MECP Design Guideline for Drinking Water System, Table 3-3)
  - Commercial: 1.5
- Maximum system pressure: 552 kPa (80 psi)
- Minimum system pressure under peak hour demand: 276 kPa (40 psi)
- Minimum system pressure under fire flow conditions: 140 kPa (20 psi)

The fire flow calculation for the development site was based on the Water Supply for Public Fire Protection by Fire Underwriter Survey 2020 (FUS).

#### 2.2 EXISTING WATER SYSTEM AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The existing site was serviced by a 150 mm diameter watermain which was connected to the existing 250 mm diameter watermain on Ottawa Street. The topographic survey and the existing site plan show that two existing fire hydrants onsite to provide fire protection on the existing building. It is our understanding that the existing water distribution, including 150 mm diameter watermain, water services, and the onsite fire hydrants, will be abandoned or removed for the future development.

The hydraulic boundary conditions at the two proposed connections on the existing 250 mm WM on Ottawa Street were provided by J. L. Richard & Associates Limited (JLR). The correspondence for the water distribution boundary information is included in **Appendix C** and the details are summarized in Table 2.1.

Connection Location	Flow (L/s)	Pressure (kPa)	HGL (m)
	1.19 (ADD)	400	180.62
	7.47 (PHD)	386	179.19
Ottoms Street @ West Compation	54.87 (MDD+50 FF)	365	177.09
(ground elevation: 120.78)	104.87 (MDD+100 FF)	307	171.13
(ground elevation: 139.78)	116.87 (MDD+112 FF)	288	169.26
	119.87 (MDD+115 FF)	284	168.77
	154.87 (MDD+150 FF)	220	162.26
	1.19 (ADD)	395	180.62
	7.47 (PHD)	381	179.19
Ottomo Street @ Fost Connection	54.87 (MDD+50 FF)	359	176.95
(ground elevation: 140.28)	104.87 (MDD+100 FF)	297	170.66
(ground elevation: 140.28)	116.87 (MDD+112 FF)	278	168.68
	119.87 (MDD+115 FF)	273	168.20
	154.87 (MDD+150 FF)	206	161.35

**Table 2.1: Existing Water Distribution Boundary Conditions** 

#### 2.3 WATER SYSTEM ANALYSIS

A water distribution model was developed using Bentley WaterGEMS CONNECT (version 10.03.01.08) to analyze system behaviour to meet estimated domestic water demands and fire flow requirements.

The proposed water network for the development site at the full development stage is shown in Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1: Water Distribution Model Schematic

Given the design parameters, the proposed water distribution system can meet the required operating criteria. The modeling results are summarized in Table 2.2.

<b>Table 2.2:</b>	Summary	of Modeling	System	Pressure
-------------------	---------	-------------	--------	----------

Scenario	Flow (L/s)	Maximum Pressure (kPa)	Average Pressure (kPa)	Minimum Pressure (kPa)	
Average Day Demand (ADD)	1.19	397	397	397	
Maximum Day Demand (MDD)	4.87	388	388	388	
Peak Hour Demand (PHD)	7.47	383	383	383	

The available fire flows at each modeled junction within the development site are shown in Table 2.3.

Junction	Available Fire Flow (L/s)	
J-3	165.7	
J-4	156.8	
<b>J-</b> 7	154.87	
J-5	150.9	
J-6	152.9	

**Table 2.3: Summary of Modeled Available Fire Flows** 

The fire flow analysis indicated that the available fire flows for the development site range from 9,060 L/min (151 L/s) to 9,940 L/min (165.7 L/s). WSP provided a technical memo on March 06, 2023, regarding to the required fire protection flows for the development site. The memo indicated that the calculated fire flows range from 6,000 L/min to 12,000 L/min depending on the building construction types and other configurations. And the memo also indicated that in order to meet the fire flow requirement as calculated per FUS standards, the proposed buildings should be considered an ordinary construction type as minimum. Detailed modeling analysis reports and the previous fire flow analysis technical memo are included in **Appendix C**.

#### 2.3.1 Phase 1 Development

The proposed water distribution system for the Phase 1 development includes approximately 75 m of 200 mm diameter watermain connecting to the existing 250mm diameter watermain on Ottawa Street, and one fire hydrant assembly near the modeled Junction of J-6 as shown in Figure 2-1. The fire analysis for Phase 1 development site indicated that the available fire flow at J-6 is 9,770 L/min (162.9 L/s). The required fire protection flow for Building A is 8,000 L/min (see the previous technical memo in **Appendix C**).

According to FUS standard, at least two (2) fire hydrants are required to provide 8,000 L/min fire protection flow to Building A. In addition to the proposed onsite fire hydrant, there is an existing fire hydrant crossing Ottawa Street. The distance between the existing fire hydrant and front line of building A is about 53 m. The existing fire hydrant can be used to provide fire protection flow for Building A.

#### 2.3.2 Phasing Plan

The proposed watermain for the Phase 1 interim development shall end with a valve and cap at the northern extent of the Phase 1 limits. Full site development in Phase 2 shall remove the cap and extend the watermain to provide service connections to the proposed residential building and Building B. The watermain will connect to the existing 250 mm diameter watermain along the south side of Ottawa Street providing a looped system for the site in its ultimate development state.

#### 3 SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM

The proposed sanitary sewer system for the development site includes approximately 120 m of 200 mm diameter sanitary sewers and two manholes at the full development stage. The proposed onsite sewer system will be connected to the existing 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Ottawa Street. The invert of the 200mm diameter tie-in sanitary sewer measures 136.24 m. Note that all proposed sanitary sewers and associated structures within the right-of-way will be considered municipal infrastructure post-development.

#### 3.1 SANITARY SEWER DESIGN CRITERIA

Sanitary flows for the proposed development are based on the following parameters and design criteria as outlined in the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines and the current Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Design Guidelines for Sewage Works:

- Hazen-Williams coefficient: C = 110 (200 mm to 250 mm diameter watermain)
- Residential wastewater generation rate: 280 litres/capita/day
- Population density (residential): 1.8 persons / average apartment unit
- Commercial water wastewater generation rate: 28,000 L/gross ha/day
- Extraneous inflow and infiltration allowance: 0.33 L/sec/ha
- Sewer flow peak factor based on Harmon Formula; maximum is 4.0
- Peak design flow = peak domestic sewage flow + extraneous flows
- Sewer pipe selection is based on a minimum full flow velocity of 0.6 m/s. The minimum pipe dimeter is 200 mm with a Manning's n value of 0.013.
- The outlet of the sewage flow at the 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer of development site is assumed to be a free flow normal depth discharge condition.

#### 3.2 SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM DESIGN

A summary of the sanitary flows that are estimated to be generated by the development are presented in Table 3.1. Detailed calculations are included in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.1: Summary	of Estimated	Sanitary	Flows at	Full	Development	Stage
--------------------	--------------	----------	----------	------	-------------	-------

	Value
Average domestic sanitary flow	1.01 L/s
Peak domestic sanitary flow	2.97 L/s
Extraneous inflow and infiltration	0.83 L/s
Peak design flow	3.81 L/s

#### 3.2.1 Phase 1 Development

The proposed sanitary sewer system at Phase 1 development stage includes approximately 58 m of 200 mm diameter sewer and one (1) manhole to be installed south side of Building A. The onsite sanitary sewer system needs to be connected to the existing 200 mm diameter sewer main on Ottawa Street. The Estimated sanitary flows from Phase 1 development stage are summarized in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2: Summary of Estimated Sanitary Flows at Phase 1 Development Stage

	Value
Average domestic sanitary flow	0.13 L/s
Peak domestic sanitary flow	0.20 L/s
Extraneous inflow and infiltration	0.13 L/s
Peak design flow	0.33 L/s

#### 3.2.2 Phasing Plan

Full site development in Phase 2 shall extend the Phase 1 sanitary sewer approximately 59 m north to a second manhole and a 5 m service to the proposed residential building. Proposed Building B shall be serviced from the existing capped service installed as part of Phase 1.

#### 3.3 EXISTING MUNICIPAL SANITARY SEWER CAPACITY REVIEW

JLR has been contacted to review the available capacity of the existing 200mm sanitary sewer on Ottawa Street. The model results indicated that the existing 200mm sanitary sewer on Ottawa Street has sufficient capacity to accommodate the sewage flow generated from the project area for both dry weather flow event and 25-year storm event. A copy of the correspondences between the applicants and JLR, the Municipality's representatives are included in **Appendix D**.

#### 4 STORM SEWER AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

#### 4.1 OVERVIEW

Following sections of the report examines the potential water quality and water quantity impacts of the development site and summarizes how each will be addressed in accordance with the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines and MECP Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual. Note that all proposed storm sewers and associated structures within the right-of-way will be considered municipal infrastructure post-development.

#### 4.1.1 Stormwater Management Plan Objectives

The objectives of the stormwater management plan are as follows:

- Quantity Control the proposed development will increase the imperviousness of the site. The
  post-development flow will need to be restricted to pre-development flow for both 5-year and
  100-year design storm events.
- **Quality Control** the proposed development is required to meet the MECP's Enhanced Level (80% TSS Removal). This will be addressed with an oil/grit separator using a Stormceptor or approved equivalent.
- **Erosion Control** appropriate erosion and sediment controls will be implemented during the construction phase. Refer to the Erosion & Sedimentation Control Plan provided in Appendix B for details.

#### 4.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

The following sections outline the stormwater management design criteria used in this study.

#### 4.2.1 Rainfall Intensity

Rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves equation were derived from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guideline. 2-year design storm, 5-year design storm, and 100-year design storm curve equations and IDF parameters are listed below:

2-year Intensity	$= 732.951 / (Time in min + 6.199)^{0.810}$
5-year Intensity	= 998.071 / (Time in min + 6.053) <sup>0.814</sup>
100-year Intensity	$= 1735.688 / (Time in min + 6.014)^{0.820}$

#### 4.2.2 Storm Sewer Design Parameters

The storm sewer design for the development is based on the following parameters:

- Pipe sizes were determined based on the 5-year design storm, with full pipe capacity and no surcharging permitted.
- Manning's "n" value:
  - 0 0.013 for the storm sewer pipes regardless of pipe material
  - 0.024 for the corrugated metal pipe culvert
- Minimum storm sewer pipe size is 375 mm, and minimum catch basin lead size is 200 mm.
- Pipe diameter and slope are based on providing a minimum full flow velocity of 0.8 m/sec.
- Maximum allowable flow depth permitted within the parking lot and pavement area is 300 mm.

#### 4.2.3 Runoff Coefficients and Imperviousness

Surface runoff coefficients (C-Value) for various land uses are as follow per the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guideline:

- Paved areas and roof: 0.90
- Gravel surface: 0.70
- Grassland: 0.20
- For 100-year design storms, add 25% to C values

The following equation was used to determine a blended runoff coefficient when a land consists of a mixture of impervious and pervious areas:

imp = <u>impervious area</u> total area C = imp x C<sub>impervious</sub>+ (1 - imp) x C<sub>pervious</sub>

#### 4.3 EXISTING SITE DRAINAGE

The existing site was a commercial plaza with a L-shaped building, including a number of retail stores, with paved driveways, parking lots, and grassed areas. The previous stormwater management report (AECOM, May 5, 2011) summarized the existing site drainage conditions and provided the discussion of the maximum allowable discharges from the existing site under both the pre-development condition and the post-development condition as per the TSH Site Plan submission of April 2004.

AECOM's report indicated that the 1-5 year and the 1-100 year pre-development flows from the site are 92 L/s and 156 L/s, respectively. Post-development flows, attenuated by parking lot, SWM Pond and ditch

*storage, are 89 L/s and 155 L/s.* The detailed discussion refers to AECOM's report which is included in **Appendix E**.

For this study, the post-development runoffs from the development site are restricted to the maximum allowable discharge rates:

- 5-year: 89 L/s
- 100-year: 155 L/s

#### 4.4 POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE

Storm sewer systems are proposed to convey runoff from the development site and discharge to the existing 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Ottawa Street. Per the design criteria, storm sewer pipes were sized for the 5-year design storm, with full pipe capacity and no surcharging permitted. A total of 510 m of storm sewers are included, and pipe size diameters range from 375 mm to 600 mm. Proposed storm sewers and manholes are shown in Drawing C-01 in **Appendix B**. A storm sewer calculation sheet is included in **Appendix E**.

Based on the site lot grading design, 28 drainage sub-catchments were identified and shown in the sketch of Post-Development Drainage Plan in **Appendix E**.

Runoff generated in sub-catchments S-25, S-26, S-27, and S-28 overland flow (unrestrictedly) to the existing watercourses outside of the development site. The total area of the unrestrained sub-catchments is 0.037 ha and the composited runoff coefficient is 0.30. Estimated post-development peak runoffs from the unrestricted sub-catchments under the 5-year and the 100-year design storms are summarized in Table 4-1.

SUB_CATCHMENT	T <sub>C</sub> (min)	INTENSITY (mm/hr)	C-VALUE	PEAK RUNOFF (L/s)
5-Year	14.5	85.2	0.30	2.6
100-Year	14.5	145.8	0.36*	5.4

#### Table 4-1: Post-development 5-Year and 100-Year Unrestricted Peak Runoffs

\* C-values are increased by 25% for the 100-year design storm

Runoff generated in sub-catchments S-1 through S-24 are collected by the proposed storm sewer systems and discharge to the existing 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Ottawa Street at restricted flow rates. The total area of the restrained sub-catchments is 2.513 ha and the composited runoff coefficient is 0.65.

The total peak discharge from the development site, including both the restricted sub-catchments and the unrestricted sub-catchments, is restricted to the existing site conditions under both the 5-year and the 100-year design storms. To restrict the post-development flow to the existing peak flow rates, a 173 mm diameter orifice will be installed at the proposed storm sewer manhole, which is located the upstream to the proposed Oil/Grit Separator (OGS). The excessive runoff will be temporarily stored in the parking lots and green space areas.

The peak discharge from the development site under the 5-year design rainfall is 88.5 L/s, including 2.6 L/s of the unrestricted flow and 85.9 L/s of the restricted flow. The required onsite storage for the 5-year rainfall is 280.3 m<sup>3</sup> at a ponding elevation of 140.43 m. The peak discharge from the development site under the 100-year design rainfall is 94.0 L/s, including 5.4 L/s of the unrestricted flow and 88.6 L/s of the restricted flow. The required onsite storage for the 100-year design rainfall is 94.0 L/s, including 5.4 L/s of the unrestricted flow and 88.6 L/s of the restricted flow. The required onsite storage for the 100-year rainfall is 697.6 m<sup>3</sup> at a ponding elevation of 140.55 m. The ponding depth for 5-year and 100-year rainfall events are 0.18m and 0.30m, respectively.

Table 4-2 summarizes the estimated peak discharges and the required onsite storages under 5-year and 100-year design rainfalls. The estimated ponding boundaries are shown on Drawing C-02 in Appendix B.

<b>DESIGN STORMS</b>	5-YEAR STORM	100-YEAR STORM
Existing Peak Discharge (L/s)*	89.0	155.0
Post-development Peak Discharge (L/s)	88.5	94.0
- Unrestricted (L/s)	2.6	5.4
- Restricted (L/s)	85.9	88.6
Required Onsite Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )	280.3	697.6

**Table 4-2: Post-development Restricted Discharges and Required Storages** 

\*Existing peak discharge rates are from the previous AECOM's report.

The maximum available onsite storage is  $797.4 \text{ m}^3$  at a spill elevation of 140.55 m. The estimated ponding boundaries are shown in Drawing C-01 in Appendix B.

#### 4.4.1 Phase 1 Development

The proposed drainage boundary of Phase 1 development site is shown in Drawing C-03 in Appendix B. The drainage area of Phase 1 development is 0.343 ha with an 81% of imperviousness. As per AECOM's report Table 1, the existing drainage catchment which contributes to the existing 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Ottawa Street, measures 1.36 ha with an 85% of imperviousness. The modeled peak discharges are 56 L/s and 114 L/s for 5-year rainfall and 100-year rainfall, respectively.

Under Phase 1 development stage, most of the existing onsite storm sewer system including the runoff retention facilities and inflow control devices (ICD) will be retained, except for one existing catchbasin and 250 mm diameter catchbasin lead, which is located in the proposed Phase 1 development site.

Similar to the full development stage, runoffs generated from Phase 1 development need to be restricted to equal or less than the peak discharges under the existing site conditions. The maximum discharges under Phase 1 development stage were converted from the existing peak discharges as indicated in AECOM's report Table 1 as per the drainage area and the development site imperviousness. Table 4-3 details restricted discharge calculation from Phase 1 development.

DESIGN STORMS	5-YEAR STORM	100-YEAR STORM	
Existing catchment area (ha)*	1.36		
Existing imperviousness percentage*	85%		
Existing peak discharge (L/s)	56	114	
Phase 1 catchment area (ha)	0.34		
Phase 1 imperviousness percentage	81%		
Phase 1 maximum discharge (L/s)	13.5	27.4	

**Table 4-3: Maximum Discharges from Phase 1 Development** 

\*Existing peak discharge rates are from the previous AECOM's report (Table 1).

To restrict the post-development flow to the existing peak flow rates, a 75 mm diameter orifice will be installed at the proposed storm sewer manhole, which is located the upstream to the Oil/Grit Separator (OGS). The excessive runoff will be temporarily stored in the parking lots of Phase 1 development site. The peak discharge from the development site under the 5-year design rainfall is 16.0 L/s. The required onsite storage for the 5-year rainfall is 47.0 m<sup>3</sup> at a ponding elevation of 140.40 m. The peak discharge from the development site under the 100-year design rainfall is 16.4 L/s. The required onsite storage for the 100-year rainfall is 84.7 m<sup>3</sup> at a ponding elevation of 140.50 m. The ponding depth for 5-year and 100-year rainfall event are 0.15m and 0.25m, respectively.

Table 4-4 summarizes the estimated peak discharges and the required onsite storages under 5-year and 100-year design rainfalls. The estimated ponding boundaries are shown on Drawing C-03 in **Appendix B**.

 Table 4-4: Post-development Restricted Discharges and Required Storages for Phase 1 Development

DESIGN STORMS	<b>5-YEAR STORM</b>	100-YEAR STORM
Existing Peak Discharge from Table 4-3 (L/s)	13.5	27.4
Post-development Peak Discharge (L/s)	16.0	16.4
Required Onsite Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )	47.0	84.7

The maximum available onsite storage for the Phase 1 development is  $153.2 \text{ m}^3$  at a spill elevation of 140.50 m. The estimated ponding boundaries are shown in Drawing C04 in **Appendix B**.

#### 4.4.2 Phasing Plan

All grades along the proposed Phase 1 limits are to tie-into existing elevations, with on-site grading established such that runoff produced within the Phase 1 development is contained within the site limits.

The storm sewer installed during Phase 1 shall be the primary discharge point for new sewers installed as part of the Phase 2 ultimate development state. The 75 mm orifice plate installed on Storm Manhole 04 during the Phase 1 development shall be replaced by 173 mm orifice plate to ensure quantity control conditions are met in the ultimate development state.

#### 4.5 QUALITY CONTROL

The quality control for drainage areas within the development site shall be an enhanced level with a long-term removal rate of at least 80% total suspended sediments (TSS) per the application's plan and study identification list (Mississippi Mills, November 14, 2022).

Runoff generated from the development site will be collected by the proposed storm sewer system and discharge to the existing 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Ottawa Street. Total drainage area of these sub-catchments to the treatment unit is 2.513 ha. The estimated runoff coefficient is 0.65.

A Stormceptor EFO8 oil/grit separator is proposed to provide stormwater quality control to remove greater than 80% of the long-term average total suspended solids from runoff collected by the storm sewer system. The proposed Stormceptor EFO8 is sized to meet the quality control objectives for both the interim Phase 1 and ultimate Phase 2 development. The design capacity of Stormceptor EFO8 is outlined below.

- Estimated Water Quality Flow Rate: 52.7 L/s
- Maintenance Sediment Depth: 610 mm
- Maximum Sediment Capacity: 8,780 L
- Maximum Hydrocarbon Storage Capacity: 1,070 L

Stormceptor design details are included in Appendix E.

#### 4.6 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Erosion and sediment controls must be in place during both Phases of site development. Recommendations to the contractor for the Phase 1 development are included on the erosion and sediment control drawing C05 in **Appendix B**. Additional measures shall be established at the time of Phase 2 ultimate development.

Regular monitoring and inspection of the silt mitigation measures and/or devices are critical during site construction until all vegetation is established, and construction activity is complete. This inspection will ensure that any breach of the silt mitigation measures is immediately identified and able to be reinstated and/or remedied in a timely fashion. During the spring freshet and during major storm events, the inspections may have to be more frequent.

A contingency plan should also be in place to ensure the response to any problems can be immediate. This plan shall include:

- 1 Generic plans for constructing temporary berms, check dams, and new sedimentation control ponds if any emergency measures are required;
- 2 The necessary equipment (excavator, loader, pumps and hoses) and supplies (silt fencing, clear stone, straw bales and dry sand stock piles) on hand during construction, and check for operational efficiency of the installations daily;
- 3 A list of contact names and telephone numbers of equipment operators and laborers who can be called upon to make emergency repairs; and
- 4 A list of emergency contact names and telephone numbers.

If repairs are required for any component of the silt mitigation installations, the work must be performed in a timely manner. The on-site inspector will have the authority to ensure the required works are performed immediately, and to issue a "Stop Work Order" if necessary.

In the event of a spill, or failure of the water quality control measures, the MECP spill reporting procedures shall be used to report any unexpected discharge of silt, sediment, and/or other deleterious substance. The MECP 24-hour spill line is 1-800-268-6060.

#### 5 SUMMARY

#### 5.1 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

Average day, maximum day, and peak hour demands under the full development conditions are 1.19 L/s, 4.87 L/s, and 7.47 L/s respectively. A looped 200 mm diameter water distribution system is proposed to connect to the existing 250 mm diameter watermain on Ottawa Street. The required fire protection flow is 8,000 L/min. The modeling analysis indicated that the existing water distribution system has sufficient capacity to provide domestic flow and fire flow to the development site for both the Phase 1 development stage and the full development stage.

#### 5.2 SANITARY SEWER SERVICING

The peak design sewage flow under the full development stage is 4.44 L/s, which includes 3.61 L/s peak domestic sewage flow and 0.83 L/s of extraneous inflow and infiltration. The collected sewage from the development site will discharge to the existing 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Ottawa Street.

#### 5.3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Storm sewer systems are proposed to convey runoff from the development site and discharge to the existing 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Ottawa Street. Runoffs generated from the development site will be restricted to equal or less than the existing peak discharge rates as specified in AECOM's report. The excessive runoffs will be temporarily stored onsite.

Design peak discharge and required onsite storages under 5-year and 100-year design rainfalls are summarized in Table 5-1 and Table 5-2 for the full development stage and the Phase 1 development stage, respectively.

RAINFALL	MAX ALLOWABLE	DESIGN PEAK	ESTIMATED	PONDING	PONDING
EVENTS	DISCHARGE (L/s)	DISCHARGE (L/s)	STORAGE (m <sup>3</sup> )	ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m)
5-Year	89.0	88.5	280.3	140.43	0.18
100-Year	155.0	94.0	697.6	140.55	0.30

Table 5-2: Stormwater Quantity Control at Phase 1 Development Stage

#### Table 5-1: Stormwater Quantity Control at Full Development Stage

RAINFALL EVENTS	MAX ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE (L/s)	DESIGN PEAK DISCHARGE (L/s)	ESTIMATED STORAGE (m <sup>3</sup> )	PONDING ELEVATION (m)	PONDING DEPTH (m)
5-Year	13.5	16.0	47.0	140.40	0.15
100-Year	27.4	16.4	84.7	140.50	0.25

Through the implementation of the Stormwater Plan presented, there will be no increase in peak stormwater flow rates conveyed off-site during minor and major storm events. Additionally, A Stormceptor EFO8 oil/grit separator is proposed to provide stormwater quality control to remove greater than 80% of the long-term average total suspended solids from runoff collected by the storm sewer system.

#### 6 CLOSING

We trust that this Servicing Report is suitable to support the site development agreement process for the proposed 430 Ottawa Street development. If you require any additional information, or have any comments or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact our office.



# A DRAFT PLAN BY CALLON & DIETZ (FEB 2023)



orp Wit M		OBSERVED REFERENCE POINT WITNESS MEASURED		INTEGRATION D	ATA	SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE	NET DIO DISTANCES AND COORDINATES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN	N METRES
(857) (1557) P1 P2		ADAM KASPRZAK, O.L.S. REGISTERED PLAN 27M-75 SUBVEYORS PEAL PROPERTY REPORT BY (857)	OBSERVED REFERENCE OBSERVATIONS (RTN) AN COORDI PI	E POINTS (ORP'S) DERIVER ND ARE REFERRED TO MTI NATES COMPLY WITH URB ER SEC.14 (2) OF O. REG	D FROM REAL TIME NETWORK M ZONE 9 NADB3 (CSRS)(2010) DAN ACCURACY 3. 216/10	<ol> <li>CERTIFY THAT:</li> <li>THIS SURVEY AND PLAN ARE CORRECT AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SURVEYS ACT, THE SURVEYORS ACT AND LAND THLES ACT, AND THE REGULATIONS MADE UNDER THEM.</li> <li>THE SURVEY WIDE OR THE OUT TH</li></ol>	MELIKIC: AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048 01/josh/1 JOBS CALLON DETZ/3023/33-1528 ELM Developmente - 430 Ottawe SL. Amenia/Jowe	wing\23-1928.dwg Feb
		DATED JANUARY 7, 2011	POINT ID	NORTHING	EASTING	(2) THE SURVET WAS COMPLETED ON THE 20th DAT OF JANGART , 2023		
P3		PLAN 26R-8445	ORP A	5010595.84	329637.14	MA		
P4	-	REGISTERED PLAN 27M-80	ORP B	5010690.70	329547.31	TOPOLOVI 2202 ALAN	ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS	
SE SW	:	SOUTHEAST SOUTHWEST	COORDINAT RE-ESTABLISH C	ES CANNOT, IN THEMSELY CORNERS OR BOUNDARIES	VES, BE USED TO SHOWN ON THIS PLAN	DATE J.D. MAATTHUR	CARLETON PLACE LONDON NORTH BAY	
NW		NORTHWEST				THIS PLAN OF SURVEY RELATES TO AOLS PLAN SUBMISSION FORM NUMBER 2200615.	SURVEY BY: MP DRAWN BY: NJ FILE No: 23-1928 PLAN N	No: X-3331



## B CIVIL DESIGN DRAWINGS



#### NOTES:

- 1. ALL WORK TO BE COORDINATED WITH OTHER PLANS FOR THIS SITE. REFER TO M AND E DRAWINGS FOR GAS, ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING AND COMMUNICATION SERVICES. ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN TO BE USED FOR SITE LAYOUT AND PHASING. ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS PROVIDE THE PAVEMENT DESIGN.
- 2. LOCATION OF SERVICES, CHAMBERS, UTILITIES AND ALL UNDERGROUND WORKS ARE APPROXIMATE. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF ALL SERVICES, UTILITIES, AND UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTION AND REINSTATEMENT.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL REMOVALS NECESSARY TO SATISFY ENGINEERING WORKS.
- 4. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ROAD CUT PERMIT, AND PROVIDING ALL ASSOCIATED TRAFFIC CONTROL. CONTRACTOR TO RECORD VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND WORKS FOR RECORD DRAWINGS.
- 5. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE POST CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY COMPLETED BY OLS OR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER RETAINED BY CONTRACTOR CONFIRMING COMPLIANCE WITH GRADING AND SERVICING DESIGN. SURVEY TO INCLUDE SEWER INV.ERTS. SURVEY LEVEL OF DETAIL TO MATCH THAT OF GRADING DESIGN PLANS.
- 6. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL PLANS FOR PHASING AND STAGING OF CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO SITE PLAN FOR PAVING LIMITS AND TYPES, INCLUDING SIDEWALK REQUIREMENTS.
- 7. ROAD ENTRANCES TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SITE PLAN. 8. ASPHALT AND GRANULARS:
- 8.1. ALL MATERIALS MUST CONFORM TO MUNICIPALITY AND ONTARIO
- PROVINCIAL STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS. REFER TO TESTING SECTION FOR GEOTECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS AND A LIST OF SUBMITTALS.
- 8.2. HOT MIX ASPHALT SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 310. 8.3. COMPACTION OF ASPHALT SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH TABLE 10 OF
- OPSS 310. 8.4. ALL SAWCUTS SHALL BE STRAIGHT MATCH LINES BETWEEN THE EXISTING PAVEMENT AND NEW PAVEMENT. KEY GRIND A MINIMUM 0.50m WIDE BY
- 40mm DEEP EDGE WHEN TYING INTO EXISTING ASPHALT AND SEAL JOINTS WITH DENSO ASPHALT REINSTATEMENT TAPE. 8.5. COMPACTION OF BASE AND SUBBASE MATERIALS SHALL BE TO 100% SPMDD.
- 9. CONCRETE CURBS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 600.110.
- 10. CONCRETE SIDEWALKS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 310.010. ASPHALT SIDEWALKS SHALL BE IN INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITH OF OTTAWA DETAIL SC20.
- 11. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METERS UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 12. DRAWING COORDINATES ARE ZONE 18 UTM NAD83.

#### RECOMMENDED MINIMUM PAVEMENT STRUCTURE

PAVEMENT ST	RUCTURE	HEAVY DUTY MATERIALS FIRE ROUTES	LIGHT DUTY PARKING
	OPSS HL3 OR EQUIVALENT	40mm	65mm
ASPHALIIC CONCRETE	OPSS HL8 OR EQUIVALENT	60mm	-
BASE	OPSS GRANULAR A OR 20mm CRUSHER-RUN	150mm	150mm
SUB-BASE	OPSS GRANULAR B OR 50mm CRUSHER-RUN	300mm	200mm

	ISSUED FOR	<b>REVIEW</b>
EXISTING	LEGEND-PLAN	PROPOSED
<u>150 WM</u>	WATER MAIN	150 WM
300 STM	STORM SEWER	300 STM
250 SAN	SANITARY SEWER	250 SAN
G	GAS	
PO	POWER OVERHEAD HYDRO	
	CURB	
	EDGE OF PAVEMENT	
	CULVERT	
	PROPERTY LINE	
	WOODEN FENCE	
59.367	GROUND ELEVATION	
00.00	ROAD ELEVATION	00.00
00.00	LOT ELEVATION	00.00
(00.00)	DITCH/SWALE ELEVATION	(00.00)
	FLOW DIRECTION	
	SWALE FLOW DIRECTION	
	OVERLAND DRAINAGE ARROW	▶
HP	HYDRO POLE	
C	PLUG	C
$\otimes$	VALVE	8
-¢-	HYDRANT	+
0	MANHOLE	•
	CATCHMENT BOUNDARY	
	TOPSOIL AND SOD	$\psi \psi \psi \psi \psi$
	RIP RAP	000000
	CONCRETE	
	HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT	
	LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT	



REV	REVISION:					
0B	2023-07-14	REVISED AS PER MUNICIPALITY COMMENTS	J.Z.			
0A	2023-03-27	ISSUED FOR SPA	J.Z.			
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY			





DISCLAIMER: COPYRIGHT THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NOT BE USED REPRODUCED OR REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY WSP. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK.

original scale: 1:400		DATE: 2022-12-12
designed by: J.Z.		
ASSISTED BY:		IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG AD IUST
drawn by: L.T.		YOUR PLOTTING SCALE.
MODIFIED BY:		2Emm
APPROVED BY:		2511111
DISCIPLINE:	CIVIL	



WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8K2 T 613-829-28-00 www.wsp.com

PROJECT NUMBER: 221-06853-00

#### ELM DEVELOPMENTS

CLIENT REF. #: --

CLIENT:

PROJECT: 430 OTTAWA STREET ALMONTE, ONTARIO **OVERALL SITE GRADING PLAN** DRAWING NUMBER: REV. C01 0B

METRIC

WHOLE NUMBERS INDICATE MILLIMETRES DECIMALIZED NUMBERS INDICATE METRES



#### SEWER NOTES

1. CONSTRUCT SEWERS AND APPURTENANCES AS PER MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT STANDARDS. CONFIRM EXISTING TIE IN ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. SEWER TRENCH SHALL INCLUDE BEDDING AS PER OPSD 802.010. COMPACTION TO BE A MINIMUM OF 95% SPMDD FOR PIPE AND DRAINAGE STRUCTURE BEDDING AND BACKFILL. GRANULAR BEDDING AND COVER DEPTHS TO BE 300mm AND 300mm RESPECTIVELY. USE VIRGIN GRANULAR A MATERIAL, PLACED IN MAXIMUM 150mm THICK LIFTS.

- PVC STORM SEWERS AND CATCH BASIN LEADS TO BE PVC DR 35 CERTIFIED TO CAN/CSA-B182.2.
- 3. PROVIDE FLEXIBLE BOOT CONNECTION FOR ALL PVC SEWER CONNECTIONS AT MANHOLES.
- 4. STORM CATCHBASINS (CB) TO BE AS PER OPSD 705.010 WITH FRAMES AND ROUND GRATE AS PER OPSD 400.070. SUMP TO BE 600mm.
- 5. SEWERS AND SERVICES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH A MINIMUM CLEARANCE OF 2.0m FROM TREES AND UTILITY PLANT.
- 6. ALL MANHOLES TO BE 1200mm DIA. AS PER OPSD 701.010 EXCEPT AS NOTED BELOW. STORM MANHOLES TO HAVE 300mm MINIMUM SUMP BELOW LOW INV.ERT. SANITARY MANHOLES TO BE BENCHED AS PER OPSD 701.021.
- 7. CBMH FRAMES AND ROUND GRATES AS PER OPSD 400.070. SANITARY MANHOLES TO HAVE FRAME AND COVER AS PER TYPE A, OPSD 401.010. STORM MANHOLE TO HAVE TYPE B COVER AND FRAME AS PER OPSD 401.010.
- PROVIDE CAMERA INSPECTION OF ALL SEWERS FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION AND PROVIDE TO ENGINEER. MAINTAIN SEWERS IN CLEAN CONDITION UNTIL OWNER ACCEPTANCE.
- COORDINATE WITH MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR AND PROVIDE DYE TEST CERTIFIED BY PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER (RETAINED BY CONTRACTOR) ON BUILDING STORM AND SANITARY SEWER SERVICES TO CONFIRM THAT NO CROSS CONNECTIONS OCCUR ON WORKS BEING CONSTRUCTED IN THIS CONTRACT.
- 10. ALL PROPOSED SANITARY SEWERS AND ASSOCIATED STRUCTURES WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY WILL BE CONSIDERED MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE POST
- WATERMAIN NOTES:
- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM THE LOCATION, ELEVATION, SIZE, AND TYPE OF THE EXISTING WATERMAIN WITHIN THE WORK AREA PRIOR TO CONNECTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PREPARE AND SUBMIT A DETAILED PLAN TO THE ENGINEER FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO ORDERING FITTINGS. THE PLAN SHALL DETAIL THE TYPE, MAKE AND LOCATION OF ALL PROPOSED FITTINGS, RESTRAINTS AND ASSOCIATED APPURTENANCES. THE PLAN SHALL ALSO DETAIL THE PROPOSED DISINFECTION PROCEDURES, SEQUENCING AND DURATION OF WORK REQUIRED FOR THE REALIGNMENT OF THE WATERMAIN. DISINFECTION PROCEDURE SHALL FOLLOW THE REQUIREMENTS OF OPSS 441 AND TOWN STANDARDS.
- 2. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE TIMING AND DURATION OF SERVICE DISRUPTIONS WITH THE TOWN AND AFFECTED LAND OWNERS AND SHALL PROVIDE WRITTEN NOTIFICATION A MINIMUM OF TWO WEEKS PRIOR TO ANY PROPOSED DISRUPTION. NO WORK AFFECTING THI WATER OR OTHER MUNICIPAL SERVICES MAY COMMENCE UNTIL THE CONTRACTOR HAS RECEIVED WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE TOWN OR ENGINEER.
- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY POTABLE WATER SERVICES IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS MUNI 493.
- 4. WATERMAIN, VALVES AND HYDRANTS SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER OPSS 441 AND TOWN STANDARDS.
- 5. WATERMAINS AND SERVICES SHALL HAVE MINIMUM 2.40m COVER IN ALL DIRECTIONS AT ALL TIMES.
- 6. WATERMAIN PIPE SHALL BE CSA CERTIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AWWA C900 DR18.
- 7. WATER SERVICE LATERALS SHALL BE 25mm TYPE K COPPER AND SHALL BE INSTALLED PER OPSS 441.
- 8. GATE VALVES AS PER AWWA C509.
- 9. VALVE BOXES SHALL BE A 130mm DIAMETER SLIDE VALVE BOX COMPLETE WITH CASE IRON CAP AS SUPPLIED BY BIBBY-STE-CROIX OR APPROVED EQUAL.
- 10. CORROSION PROTECTION TO BE PROVIDED ACCORDING TO OPSD 1109.011 AND OPSS 442.
- 11. TRACER WIRE TO BE INSTALLED ALONG ALL NEW WATERMAINS. TRACER WIRE IS TO BE CONTINUOUS (UNSPLICED) AND SHALL BE SECURED TO THE PIPE USING FIBERGLASS TAPE OR PLASTIC TIE WRAPS, AND FASTENED TO A BOLT ON VALVES IN VALVE BOXES.
- 12. CONNECTION TO THE EXISTING 250mm WATERMAIN SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE ENGINEER AND TOWN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY ALL MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT REQUIRED TO MAKE THE CONNECTION. AT NO TIME SHALL THE CONTRACTOR OPERATE VALVES WITHIN THE TOWN DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM. THIS FUNCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT BY THE TOWN ONLY. ALL FEES CHARGED BY THE TOWN SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 13. CONTRACTOR TO BACKFILL WITH SUITABLE NATIVE MATERIAL SIMILAR TO ADJACENT GROUND UP TO THE SUB-BASE GRANULAR FILL. BACKFILL MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN LAYERS NOT EXCEEDING 200mm AND COMPACTED ACCORDING TO OPSS 501 AND APPROVED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT.

EXISTING	LEGEND-PLAN	PROPOSED
150 WM	WATER MAIN	150 WM
300 STM	STORM SEWER	300 STM
250 SAN	SANITARY SEWER	250 SAN
G	GAS	
PO	POWER OVERHEAD HYDRO	
	CURB	
	EDGE OF PAVEMENT	
	CULVERT	
	PROPERTY LINE	
	WOODEN FENCE	
59.367	GROUND ELEVATION	
00.00	ROAD ELEVATION	00.00
00.00	LOT ELEVATION	00.00
(00.00)	DITCH/SWALE ELEVATION	(00.00)
	FLOW DIRECTION	
	SWALE FLOW DIRECTION	
	OVERLAND DRAINAGE ARROW	▶
HP	HYDRO POLE	
C	PLUG	C
$\otimes$	VALVE	8
- <b>\$</b> -	HYDRANT	+
0	MANHOLE	•
	CATCHMENT BOUNDARY	
	TOPSOIL AND SOD	$\psi  \psi  \psi  \psi$
	RIP RAP	00000
	CONCRETE	
	HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT	
	LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT	



REV	REVISION:						
0B	2023-07-14	REVISED AS PER MUNICIPALITY COMMENTS	J.Z.				
0A	2023-03-27	ISSUED FOR SPA	J.Z.				
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY				



SCLAIMER: IS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NOT BE USED REPRODUCED OR REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY WSP. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK.

original scale: 1:400		DATE: 2022-12-12
designed by: J.Z.		
ASSISTED BY:		IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST
DRAWN BY: L.T.		YOUR PLOTTING SCALE.
MODIFIED BY:		25mm
APPROVED BY:		201111
DISCIPLINE:	CIVIL	



WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8K2 T 613-829-28-00| www.wsp.com

PROJECT NUMBER: 221-06853-00

#### ELM DEVELOPMENTS

CLIENT REF. #: --

CLIENT



METRIC WHOLE NUMBERS INDICATE MILLIMETRES DECIMALIZED NUMBERS INDICATE METRES



#### NOTES:



- 1. ALL WORK TO BE COORDINATED WITH OTHER PLANS FOR THIS SITE. REFER TO M AND E DRAWINGS FOR GAS, ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING AND COMMUNICATION SERVICES. ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN TO BE USED FOR SITE LAYOUT AND PHASING. ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS PROVIDE THE PAVEMENT DESIGN.
- LOCATION OF SERVICES, CHAMBERS, UTILITIES AND ALL UNDERGROUND WORKS ARE APPROXIMATE. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF ALL SERVICES, UTILITIES, AND UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTION AND REINSTATEMENT.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL REMOVALS NECESSARY TO SATISFY ENGINEERING WORKS.
- 4. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ROAD CUT PERMIT, AND PROVIDING ALL ASSOCIATED TRAFFIC CONTROL. CONTRACTOR TO RECORD VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND WORKS FOR RECORD DRAWINGS.
- 5. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE POST CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY COMPLETED BY OLS OR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER RETAINED BY CONTRACTOR CONFIRMING COMPLIANCE WITH GRADING AND SERVICING DESIGN. SURVEY TO INCLUDE SEWER INVERTS. SURVEY LEVEL OF DETAIL TO MATCH THAT OF GRADING DESIGN PLANS.
- 6. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL PLANS FOR PHASING AND STAGING OF CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO SITE PLAN FOR PAVING LIMITS AND TYPES, INCLUDING SIDEWALK REQUIREMENTS.
- 7. ROAD ENTRANCES TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SITE PLAN.
- 8. ASPHALT AND GRANULARS:
- 8.1. ALL MATERIALS MUST CONFORM TO THE MUNICIPALITY AND ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS. REFER TO TESTING SECTION FOR GEOTECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS AND A LIST OF SUBMITTALS.
- 8.2. HOT MIX ASPHALT SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 310. 8.3. COMPACTION OF ASPHALT SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH TABLE 10 OF
- OPSS 310.
- 8.4. ALL SAWCUTS SHALL BE STRAIGHT MATCH LINES BETWEEN THE EXISTING PAVEMENT AND NEW PAVEMENT. KEY GRIND A MINIMUM 0.50m WIDE BY 40mm DEEP EDGE WHEN TYING INTO EXISTING ASPHALT AND SEAL JOINTS WITH DENSO ASPHALT REINSTATEMENT TAPE.
- 8.5. COMPACTION OF BASE AND SUBBASE MATERIALS SHALL BE TO 100% SPMDD.
- 9. CONCRETE CURBS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 600.110.
- 10. CONCRETE SIDEWALKS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 310.010.
- 11. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METERS UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 12. DRAWING COORDINATES ARE ZONE 18 UTM NAD83.

#### RECOMMENDED MINIMUM PAVEMENT STRUCTURE

PAVEMENT STRUCTURE		HEAVY DUTY MATERIALS FIRE ROUTES	LIGHT DUTY PARKING
	OPSS HL3 OR EQUIVALENT	40mm	65mm
ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	OPSS HL8 OR EQUIVALENT	60mm	-
BASE	OPSS GRANULAR A OR 20mm CRUSHER-RUN	150mm	150mm
SUB-BASE	OPSS GRANULAR B OR 50mm CRUSHER-RUN	300mm	200mm

EXISTING	LEGEND-PLAN	PROPOSED
150 WM	WATER MAIN	150 WM
300 STM	LAND DRAINAGE SEWER	300 STM
250 SAN	WASTE WATER SEWER	250 SAN
G	GAS	
PO	POWER OVERHEAD HYDRO	
	CURB	
	EDGE OF PAVEMENT	
	CULVERT	
	PROPERTY LINE	
	WOODEN FENCE	
59.367	GROUND ELEVATION	
00.00	ROAD ELEVATION	00.00
00.00	LOT ELEVATION	00.00
(00.00)	DITCH/SWALE ELEVATION	(00.00)
	FLOW DIRECTION	
	SWALE FLOW DIRECTION	
	DOWNSPOUT	<u>D.S.</u>
HP	HYDRO POLE	
E	PLUG	С
⊗	VALVE	8
-¢-	HYDRANT	+
0	MANHOLE	•
	CATCHMENT BOUNDARY	
	TOPSOIL AND SOD	+ + + +
	CONCRETE	
	HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT	
	LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT	

METRIC





DESIGNED BY: J.Z.		
ASSISTED BY:		IF THIS BAR IS NOT
drawn by: L.T.		YOUR PLOTTING SCALE.
MODIFIED BY: I.J.		25mm
APPROVED BY:		2311111
DISCIPLINE:	CIVIL	



WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8K2 T 613-829-28-00 www.wsp.com

PROJECT NUMBER: 221-06853-00

#### ELM DEVELOPMENTS

CLIENT REF. #: --

CLIENT:

ROJECT:	430 OTTAWA STREET ALMONTE, ONTARIO	
TLE:	PHASE 1 LOT GRADING PLAN	
Rawing Ni	JMBER: CO3	<sup>rev.</sup>



#### **SEWER NOTES**

- 1. CONSTRUCT SEWERS AND APPURTENANCES AS PER MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT STANDARDS. CONFIRM EXISTING TIE IN ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. SEWER TRENCH SHALL INCLUDE BEDDING AS PER OPSD 802.010. COMPACTION TO BE A MINIMUM OF 95% SPMDD FOR PIPE AND DRAINAGE STRUCTURE BEDDING AND BACKFILL. GRANULAR BEDDING AND COVER DEPTHS TO BE 300mm AND 300mm RESPECTIVELY. USE VIRGIN GRANULAR A MATERIAL, PLACED IN MAXIMUM 150mm THICK LIFTS.
- 2. PVC STORM SEWERS AND CATCH BASIN LEADS TO BE PVC DR 35 CERTIFIED TO CAN/CSA-B182.2.
- 3. PROVIDE FLEXIBLE BOOT CONNECTION FOR ALL PVC SEWER CONNECTIONS AT MANHOLES.
- 4. STORM CATCHBASINS (CB) TO BE AS PER OPSD 705.010 WITH FRAMES AND ROUND GRATE AS PER OPSD 400.070. SUMP TO BE 600mm.
- 5. SEWERS AND SERVICES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH A MINIMUM CLEARANCE OF 2.0m FROM TREES AND UTILITY PLANT.
- 6. ALL MANHOLES TO BE 1200mm DIA. AS PER OPSD 701.010 EXCEPT AS NOTED BELOW. STORM MANHOLES TO HAVE 300mm MINIMUM SUMP BELOW LOW INVERT. SANITARY MANHOLES TO BE BENCHED AS PER OPSD 701.021.
- CBMH FRAMES AND ROUND GRATES AS PER OPSD 400.070. SANITARY MANHOLES TO HAVE FRAME AND COVER AS PER TYPE A, OPSD 401.010. STORM MANHOLE TO HAVE TYPE B COVER AND FRAME AS PER OPSD 401.010.
- 8. PROVIDE CAMERA INSPECTION OF ALL SEWERS FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION AND PROVIDE TO ENGINEER. MAINTAIN SEWERS IN CLEAN CONDITION UNTIL OWNER ACCEPTANCE.
- 9. COORDINATE WITH MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR AND PROVIDE DYE TEST CERTIFIED BY PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER (RETAINED BY CONTRACTOR) ON BUILDING STORM AND SANITARY SEWER SERVICES TO CONFIRM THAT NO CROSS CONNECTIONS OCCUR ON WORKS BEING CONSTRUCTED IN THIS CONTRACT.
- 10. ALL PROPOSED SERVICES AND ASSOCIATED STRUCTURES WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY WILL BE CONSIDERED MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE POST.

#### WATERMAIN NOTES:

- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM THE LOCATION, ELEVATION, SIZE, AND TYPE OF THE EXISTING WATERMAIN WITHIN THE WORK AREA PRIOR TO CONNECTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PREPARE AND SUBMIT A DETAILED PLAN TO THE ENGINEER FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO ORDERING FITTINGS. THE PLAN SHALL DETAIL THE TYPE, MAKE AND LOCATION OF ALL PROPOSED FITTINGS, RESTRAINTS AND ASSOCIATED APPURTENANCES. THE PLAN SHALL ALSO DETAIL THE PROPOSED DISINFECTION PROCEDURES, SEQUENCING AND DURATION OF WORK REQUIRED FOR THE REALIGNMENT OF THE WATERMAIN. DISINFECTION PROCEDURE SHALL FOLLOW THE REQUIREMENTS OF OPSS 441 AND THE MUNICIPALITY STANDARDS.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE TIMING AND DURATION OF SERVICE DISRUPTIONS WITH THE MUNICIPALITY AND AFFECTED LAND OWNERS AND SHALL PROVIDE WRITTEN NOTIFICATION A MINIMUM OF TWO WEEKS PRIOR TO ANY PROPOSED DISRUPTION. NO WORK AFFECTING THE WATER OR OTHER MUNICIPAL SERVICES MAY COMMENCE UNTIL THE CONTRACTOR HAS RECEIVED WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE MUNICIPALITY OR ENGINEER.
- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY POTABLE WATER SERVICES IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS MUNI 493.
- 4. WATERMAIN, VALVES AND HYDRANTS SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER OPSS 441 AND THE MUNICIPALITY STANDARDS.
- 5. WATERMAINS AND SERVICES SHALL HAVE MINIMUM 2.40m COVER IN ALL DIRECTIONS AT ALL TIMES.
- 6. WATERMAIN PIPE SHALL BE CSA CERTIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AWWA C900 DR18.
- 7. GATE VALVES AS PER AWWA C509.
- VALVE BOXES SHALL BE A 130mm DIAMETER SLIDE VALVE BOX COMPLETE WITH CASE IRON CAP AS SUPPLIED BY BIBBY-STE-CROIX OR APPROVED EQUAL.
- 9. CORROSION PROTECTION TO BE PROVIDED ACCORDING TO OPSD 1109.011 AND OPSS 442.
- 10. TRACER WIRE TO BE INSTALLED ALONG ALL NEW WATERMAINS. TRACER WIRE IS TO BE CONTINUOUS (UNSPLICED) AND SHALL BE SECURED TO THE PIPE USING FIBERGLASS TAPE OR PLASTIC TIE WRAPS, AND FASTENED TO A BOLT ON VALVES IN VALVE BOXES.
- 11. CONNECTION TO THE EXISTING 150mm WATER SERVICE SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE ENGINEER AND THE MUNICIPALITY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY ALL MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT REQUIRED TO MAKE THE CONNECTION. AT NO TIME SHALL THE CONTRACTOR OPERATE VALVES WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM. THIS FUNCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT BY THE MUNICIPALITY ONLY. ALL FEES CHARGED BY THE MUNICIPALITY SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 12. CONTRACTOR TO BACKFILL WITH SUITABLE NATIVE MATERIAL SIMILAR TO ADJACENT GROUND UP TO THE SUB-BASE GRANULAR FILL. BACKFILL MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN LAYERS NOT EXCEEDING 200mm AND COMPACTED ACCORDING TO OPSS 501 AND APPROVED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT.

EXISTING         LEGEND-PLAN         PROPOSE          150 WM         WATER MAIN        150 WM	D
<u>150 WM</u> WATER MAIN <u>150 WM</u>	
	—
300 STM LAND DRAINAGE SEWER 300 STM	_
250 SAN WASTE WATER SEWER 250 SAN	_
G GAS	
POWER OVERHEAD HYDRO	
CURB	_
EDGE OF PAVEMENT	
PROPERTY LINE	
59.367 GROUND ELEVATION	
(00.00) ROAD ELEVATION (00.00)	
00.00 LOT ELEVATION 00.00	
OD.00         DITCH/SWALE ELEVATION         OD.00	
FLOW DIRECTION	
SWALE FLOW DIRECTION -	_
DOWNSPOUT	
HP HYDRO POLE	
C PLUG <b>C</b>	
⊗ VALVE ⊗	
-¢- HYDRANT 🔶	
○ MANHOLE ●	
CATCHMENT BOUNDARY	
TOPSOIL AND SOD	ł
CONCRETE	]
HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT	
LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT	



#### **ISSUED FOR REVIEW**

REV	ISION:		
0C	2023-09-26	REVISED AS PER MUNICIPALITY COMMENTS	I.J.
0B	2023-07-14	REVISED AS PER MUNICIPALITY COMMENTS	J.Z.
0A	2023-03-27	ISSUED FOR SPA	J.Z.
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY

SEAL:	
DISCLAIMER: THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECT REPRODUCED OR REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMIS SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND UTIL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING WO	Copyright: Ted which shall not be used Ssion by WSP. The contractor Lity locations and report all RK.
original scale: 1:200	DATE: 2022-12-12
DESIGNED BY: J.Z.	_
ASSISTED BY:	IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST
L.T.	
MODIFIED BY: I.J.	25mm



CIVIL

WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8K2 T 613-829-28-00 www.wsp.com

PROJECT NUMBER: 221-06853-00

PROVED BY

DISCIPLINE:

#### ELM DEVELOPMENTS

CLIENT REF. #: --

CLIENT

RUJEC1:	430 OTTAWA STREET		
	ALMONTE, ONTARIO		
ITLE:			
	PHASE 1 LOT SERVICING PLAN		
RAWING N	UMBER:	REV.	
	C04	0C	

METRIC

WHOLE NUMBERS INDICATE MILLIMETRES DECIMALIZED NUMBERS INDICATE METRES

SOUTHWEST CORNER, SOUTHEAST CORNER AND ON UTILITY BOX NORTH OF BUILDING.



\*\* CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL INSTALLATION, MONITORING, REPAIR AND REMOVAL OF ALL

- 2.1. MINIMIZE THE EXTENT OF DISTURBED AREAS AND THE DURATION OF EXPOSURE AND
- MANAGEMENT IS IN PLACE. OTHERWISE, IMMEDIATELY INSTALL SILT FENCE WHEN THE
- TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE FIELD ENGINEER. TIE-IN TEMPORARY SWALE TO EXISTING
- INSPECT SILT FENCES, FILTER FABRIC FILTERS AND CATCH BASIN SUMPS WEEKLY AND
- WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER A STORM EVENT. CLEAN AND REPAIR WHEN NECESSARY.
- DO NOT LOCATE TOPSOIL PILES AND EXCAVATION MATERIAL CLOSER THAN 2.5m FROM ANY PAVED SURFACE, OR ONE WHICH IS TO BE PAVED BEFORE THE PILE IS REMOVED. ALL TOPSOIL PILES ARE TO BE SEEDED IF THEY ARE TO REMAIN ON SITE LONG ENOUGH FOR
- CONTROL WIND-BLOWN DUST OFF SITE BY SEEDING TOPSOIL PILES AND OTHER AREAS TEMPORARILY (PROVIDE WATERING AS REQUIRED AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE
- 2.10. NO ALTERNATE METHODS OF EROSION PROTECTION SHALL BE PERMITTED UNLESS
- 2.13. ANY MUD/MATERIAL TRACKED ONTO THE ROAD SHALL BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY BY HAND
- 2.14. TAKE ALL NECESSARY STEPS TO PREVENT BUILDING MATERIAL, CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS OR WASTE BEING SPILLED OR TRACKED ONTO ABUTTING PROPERTIES OR PUBLIC STREETS DURING CONSTRUCTION AND PROCEED IMMEDIATELY TO CLEAN UP ANY AREAS SO
- 2.15. ALL EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURE TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL DISTURBED GROUND SURFACES HAVE BEEN STABILIZED EITHER BY PAVING OR RESTORATION OF VEGETATIVE
- PROTECTION OF THE AREA DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND THE RECEIVING WATERCOURSE, DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE



PROFESSIONAL I. M. JAFFERJEE 100164153 2023-09-26 ROMEE OF ONTARIO	
DISCLAIMER: THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED REPRODUCED OR REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSIC SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK.	Copyrigi Which Shall Not Be US In By WSP. The Contract Locations and Report
original scale: 1:200	date: 2022-12-12
DESIGNED BY: J.Z.	
ASSISTED BY:	IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST

-		IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST
DRAWN BY: T.		YOUR PLOTTING SCALE.
Nodified by: . <b>J.</b>		25mm
APPROVED BY:		2311111
DISCIPLINE:	CIVIL	



WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8K2 T 613-829-28-00 www.wsp.com

PROJECT NUMBER: 221-06853-00

#### ELM DEVELOPMENTS

CLIENT REF. #: --

00.00

00.00

(00.00)

-----

D.S.

C

8

+

 $\bullet$ 

\* \*

 $\bigcirc$ 

CLIENT

PROJECT:	
430 OTTAWA STREE OTTAWA, ONTARIO	T
ITLE:	
EROSION AND SEDIME CONTROL PLAN	ENT
RAWING NUMBER:	REV.
C05	0C









18mm ±6mm -

Tapered top See alternative C

Riser sections as required

Bench or sump as specified ———

maintenance hole.

PRECAST CONCRETE

MAINTENANCE HOLE

1200mm DIAMETER

NOTES









MAINTENANCE HOLE BENCHING

AND PIPE OPENING ALTERNATIVES

Y

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_

OPSD 701.010





Y

OPSD 701.021

600x600mm

OPSD 705.010



SEAL.		
DISCLAIMER: THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT P REPRODUCED OR REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS A ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCI ORIGINAL SCALE:	ROTECTED WHICH SHALL PERMISSION BY WSP. THE ND UTILITY LOCATIONS AN NG WORK. DATE: 2022-12-12	COPYRIGH NOT BE US CONTRACT D REPORT /
S.M. ASSISTED BY:  DRAWN BY: S.M.	IF THIS BAR 25mm LONG YOUR PLOTTI	R IS NOT , ADJUST NG SCALE.
MODIFIED BY:  APPROVED BY: I.J. DISCIDENTE:	25r	nm
DISCIPLINE: CIVI	L	
PROJECT NUMBER: 221-06853-00 CLIENT:		
ELM DEVELO	OPMENTS	
CLIENT REF. #:		
PROJECT: 430 OTTAWA OTTAWA, C	A STREET ONTARIO	
TITLE:	DETAILS	
DRAWING NUMBER:		REV.

DESCRIPTION

## **APPENDIX**

## C WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM



#### **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Shan Goel, Elm Development Corp

FROM: Zhidong Pan, M.Eng., P.Eng.

#### SUBJECT: 430 Ottawa Street Site Plan Control Approval

DATE: March 06, 2023

WSP Canada Inc. (WSP) was retained by Elm Development Corp to complete an engineering servicing design for a development site at 430 Ottawa Street in the Town of Almonte, Municipality of Mississippi Mills, Ontario.

The purpose of this technical memorandum is to summarize all findings from review of the existing water distribution system boundary conditions, fire protection flow calculation for the proposed buildings on site, and hydraulic modeling results for fire flow analysis.

The development site is located at the northeast corner of the intersection of Ottawa Street and Sadler Drive, Almonte. There is an existing 250 mm diameter watermain along south side of Ottawa Street. The proposed water system includes a looped 200 mm diameter PCV DR18 watermain and two connections to the existing 250 mm watermain on Ottawa Street.

#### EXISTING WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM CAPACITY

J. L. Richard & Associates Limited (JLR) provided an email on March  $1^{st}$ , 2023, regarding to the hydraulic boundary conditions at the two proposed connections on the existing 250 mm WM on Ottawa Street. The email indicated that the existing water distribution system can provide the maximum 112 L/s (6,720 L/min) fire flow for the assumed 150 mm diameter looped onsite water system and the maximum of 155 L/s (9,300 L/min) fire flow for the assumed 200 mm diameter looped onsite water system. The email also states that the estimated maximum flow flows were simulated using the Municipality's existing hydraulic water model (2017). JLR's email is included in Appendix A.

#### **REQUIRED FIRE PROTECTION FLOW CALCULATION**

The required fire protection flows were calculated based on the provided building configurations including floor area, stories, construction type, occupancy types, sprinkler system, etc., and the Water Supply for Public Fire Protection by Fire Underwriters Survey 2020 (FUS).

The required fire flows for proposed building onsite are summarized in Table 1. Detailed fire flow calculation is included in Appendix B.



#### **Table 1: Calculated Fire Flows**

	Floor	Con		struction Type		Occupancy Type	Fully	Estimated
Building	Area (m2)	Stories	Wood Combustible	Ordinary	Non combustible	Limited Combustible	Supervised Spingkler	Fire Flow (L/Min)
Duilding A	1140		yes			yes		12000
Building A 1149	1		yes		yes		8000	
Building B 1200	1	yes			yes		11000	
			yes		yes		8000	
Residential Building 1800	4	yes			yes	yes	12000	
			yes		yes	yes	8000	
					yes	yes	yes	6000

#### Building A

Building A is a one-story retail store as classified under OBC 3.2.2.61. The construction type of Building A can be either wood frame combustible or ordinary construction (min 1.0 hr fire resistance rate for exterior wall, non fire resistance for interior walls, floors, or roofs). Occupancy type of Build A is assumed to be limited combustible as Group E Mercantile shops / stores. Building A is non sprinklered. The calculated fire flows for Building A are **8,000 L/min** as the assumed Ordinary construction and **12,000 L/min** as the assumed wood frame combustible construction.

#### Building B

Building B is a one-story retail store as classified under OBC 3.2.2.61. The construction type of Building A can be either wood frame combustible or ordinary construction (min 1.0 hr fire resistance rate for exterior wall, non fire resistance for interior walls, floors, or roofs). Occupancy type of Build B is assumed to be limited combustible as Group E Mercantile shops / stores. Building B is non sprinklered. The calculated fire flows for Building B are **8,000 L/min** as the assumed Ordinary construction and **12,000 L/min** as the assumed wood frame combustible construction.

#### Residential Building

The proposed residential building (RB) is a four-story building as classified under OBC 3.2.2.45. The construction type of RB can be either wood frame combustible, ordinary construction (min 1.0 hr fire resistance rate for exterior wall, non fire resistance for interior walls, floors, or roofs), or non-combustible (all structural elements, walls, arches, floors, and roofs are constructed with a minimum 1 hr fire resistance rating and are constructed with non-combustible, non-protected vertical openings). Occupancy type of RB is assumed to be limited combustible as Group C Residential. RB is assumed to be protected by the fully supervised sprinkler system. The maximum floor area of 1,800 m<sup>2</sup> is assumed for the fire flow calculation. And it is also assumed that the RB will be subdivided by a vertical firewall with a fire resistance rating of not less than 2 hrs, and meeting OBC requirements. The calculated fire flows for Building B are **8,000 L/min** as the assumed Ordinary construction, **12,000 L/min** as the assumed wood frame combustible construction, and **6,000 L/min** as the assumed non-combustible construction.

#### HYDRAULIC MODELING ANALYSIS

The hydraulic modeling fire flow analysis was conducted by using Bentley WaterGEMS modeling software to simulate the available fire flows based on the provided water system boundary conditions. The modeling results indicated that the available fire flows for development site range from **9,060 L/min** (151 L/s) to **9,940 L/min** (165.7 L/s) under the maximum day demand scenario and the minimum system residual pressure of 140 kPa (20 psi). The modeling results are included in Appendix C.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The fire flow analysis indicated that the available fire flows for the development site range from **9,060 L/min** (151 L/s) to **9,940 L/min** (165.7 L/s). In order to meet the fire flow requirements as calculated per FUS standards, the proposed buildings should be considered an ordinary construction as minimum and with all other configurations as discussed in this memo.

Sincerely,

116

WSP Canada Inc.

Prepared by:

~

Zhidong Pan, M.Eng., P.Eng Senior Municipal Engineer

Appendices: Appendix A – Boundary Conditions Appendix B – Fire flow calculation sheets Appendix C – Modeling Results



#### Zhang, Jingwei

From:	Annie Williams <awilliams@jlrichards.ca></awilliams@jlrichards.ca>
Sent:	Wednesday, March 1, 2023 11:39 AM
То:	Zhang, Jingwei
Cc:	David Shen; 'Shan Goel'; Jason D'Elia; Mark Buchanan
Subject:	RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria
Attachments:	29920-014 WaterCAD Schematics.pdf

Good morning Jingwei,

Please find attached the requested hydraulic boundary conditions for two (2) connections to the existing 250 mm watermain at 430 Ottawa Street as requested by the Developer's Engineer.

The proposed development ("430 Ottawa Street") located within the Municipality of Mississippi Mills (Municipality), was simulated using the Municipality's existing hydraulic water model (2017) to determine hydraulic boundary conditions based on theoretical water demands provided by the Developer's Engineer (refer to attached). Table 1 summarizes the theoretical water demands that were included in the model. The elevations at the model junctions were approximated using the information from the model and Google Earth. The development was modelled with both a 150 mm and 200 mm watermain loop through the center of the site. Also included for the Developer's consideration is the expected level of service for three (3) different fire flow scenarios for both the 150 mm and 200 mm watermain loops (refer to Table 2 and 3). It is noted that the simulated maximum available fire flow is 112 L/s for the 150 mm watermain loop and 155 L/s for the 200 mm watermain loop based on maintaining a minimum pressure of 140 kPa (20 psi) in the system.

#### **Table 1: Theoretical Water Demands**

430 Ottawa St.	Avg Day	Max Day	Peak Hour
	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)
Junction Node J-573 (Elev. 141.00 mm)	1.19	4.87	7.47

The hydraulic boundary conditions have been generated at the requested connection locations labelled as node J-574 and node J-575 in the model and are summarized in Table 2 and Table 3 (refer to attached WaterCAD model outputs).

#### Table 2: 430 Ottawa Street Boundary Conditions – 150 mm Watermain Loop (MAX. AVAILABLE FIRE FLOW 112 L/s)

	Conne	Connection 1		Connection 2	
Demand Scenario	Junction Node J-574 (Elev. 139.78 m)		Junction Node J-57	′5 (Elev. 140.28 m)	
	Pressure (kPa)	HGL (m)	Pressure (kPa)	HGL (m)	
Average Day	400	180.62	395	180.62	
Max Day + Fire Flow (112 L/s)	288	169.26	278	168.68	
Max Day + Fire Flow (100 L/s)	307	171.13	297	170.66	
Max Day + Fire Flow (50 L/s)	365	177.09	359	176.95	
Peak Hour	386	179.19	381	179.19	

#### Table 3: 430 Ottawa Street Boundary Conditions – 200 mm Watermain Loop (MAX. AVAILABLE FIRE FLOW 155 L/s)

	Conne	ction 1	Connection 2		
Demand Scenario	Junction Node J-574 (Elev. 139.78 m)		Junction Node J-575 (Elev. 140.28 m)		
	Pressure (kPa)	HGL (m)	Pressure (kPa)	HGL (m)	
Average Day	400	180.62	395	180.62	
Max Day + Fire Flow (150	220	162.26	206	161.35	
L/s)					

Max Day + Fire Flow (115 L/s)	284	168.77	273	168.20
Max Day + Fire Flow (100 L/s)	307	171.13	298	170.69
Peak Hour	386	179.19	381	179.19

Note that the foregoing model results are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation. We have not reviewed the adequacy of the domestic demand nor the fire flow requirements for the proposed development, which remains the responsibility of the Developer's Engineer.

Disclaimer: The model results are based on current simulated operation of the Municipality's water distribution system and sewer collection system. The computer model simulations are based on the best information available at this time. The operation of the systems can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in the boundary conditions. It is further noted that the operational characteristics of the water supply and wastewater collection systems and physical properties of the watermains and sewers can change and/or deteriorate over time. These changes may affect the supply and collection characteristics of the systems and the assumptions made in developing the models, which in turn could lead to variations in the simulation results. This should be considered by any third party undertaking simulation of system upgrades.

Should you have any questions or require anything further, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Regards, Annie

Annie Williams, P.Eng. Civil Engineer

J.L. Richards & Associates Limited 1000-343 Preston Street, Ottawa, ON K1S 1N4 Direct: 343-803-4523





From: Zhang, Jingwei <Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com>
Sent: February 17, 2023 7:28 AM
To: Annie Williams <awilliams@jlrichards.ca>
Cc: David Shen <dshen@mississippimills.ca>; 'Shan Goel' <sgoel@elmdevelopments.com>; Jason D'Elia
<j.delia@elmdevelopments.com>; Mark Buchanan <mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Hi Annie,

Thank you for your reply.

The new development site includes two commercial buildings and a 4-story residential building. Refer to the attached conceptual site plan.

Required steady state water demands for the new development site are listed below.

Scenarios	Estimated Demand (L/s)
Average Day	1.19
Demand	
Maximum Day	4.87
Demand	
Peak Hour Demand

We are currently under the conceptual design stage, and the required fire protection flow for future development is not finalized. And that was the reason we reach out the Town for the existing water distribution system boundary conditions at this moment. We need to know what is the maximum system capacity at the proposed two connections, then we will work with architect, mechanical, and other disciplines to determine the building configurations, such as construction type, occupancy, sprinkler, the maximum fire zone, etc. After that we can finalize the fire flow calculations. The existing boundary condition is critical and a prerequisites for the fire flow calculations. We don't want to just provide a wide range of the fire flows which we will have to revise later when the boundary condition becomes a constraint.

What we want from the Town or J.L. Richards (for fire flow + MDD) is a set of demand vs pressure curve at each connection **under the maximum day scenario**, e.g.

Flow (L/s)	Pressure (kPa)
0	-
5	-
10	-
15	-
20	-
25	-
	140

We are going to build a water distribution model for the development site and use the provided boundary conditions (Demand vs pressure curves) to review the required water main size and if the looped system is necessary or not, etc.

I hope I clarify our requirements for the boundary conditions. If you have further questions please let us know.

The fee has been approved by our client, please send the invoice to the town once the boundary conditions are provided.

We have a strict timeline for this project, please provide the required boundary condition as your earliest convenience.

Thanks,

wsp.

**Jingwei Zhang, M.Eng., P.Eng., PMP** Senior Project Engineer Municipal Engineering - Ottawa

T+ 1 613-690-1245

WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario, K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Annie Williams <a williams@jlrichards.ca Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2023 1:45 PM To: Zhang, Jingwei <<u>Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com</u>> Cc: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>; 'Shan Goel' <<u>sgoel@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Jason D'Elia

#### <<u>j.delia@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Mark Buchanan <<u>mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca</u>> **Subject:** RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Hi Jingwei,

We have reviewed your boundary condition request for 430 Ottawa Street and we would kindly request the following information:

- Please provide the updated water demands (as approved by David Shen) as we have not received this information.
- Please confirm whether a looped watermain is proposed on the site, or if these are 2 separate water services. What diameter watermain is being proposed? Are both connections being used to service one single building?
- What is the development being proposed? If you could send a Site Plan for reference this may be helpful.

We would be happy to provide you with hydraulic boundary conditions from the Municipality's water model at the two (2) requested connection locations. Per our typical boundary condition request procedures, we propose to provide you with the following table (information to be obtained from the model under steady-state scenarios):

	Connection 1		Connection 2	
Demand Scenario				
	Pressure (kPa)	HGL (m)	Pressure (kPa)	HGL (m)
Average Day				
Maximum Day				
Maximum Day + Fire Flow				
Peak Hour				

We work for the Municipality and we will bill by the hour to an upset limit of **\$2,500** (excl. disbursement and tax).

We can provide these boundary conditions within 10 business days upon receiving approval from the Municipality to proceed. Please let us know if you are in agreement with the above.

Thank you, Annie

Annie Williams, P.Eng. Civil Engineer

J.L. Richards & Associates Limited 1000-343 Preston Street, Ottawa, ON K1S 1N4 Direct: 343-803-4523





From: Zhang, Jingwei <<u>Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com</u>>
Sent: February 9, 2023 3:22 PM
To: Mark Buchanan <<u>mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca</u>>
Cc: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>; 'Shan Goel' <<u>sgoel@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Jason D'Elia
<<u>j.delia@elmdevelopments.com</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

**[CAUTION]** This email originated from outside JLR. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If in doubt, please forward suspicious emails to Helpdesk.

Hi Mark,

We are working on grading and servicing design for 430 Ottawa Street in Almonte and looking for water boundary conditions at the two main connections as shown in the screenshot below. One main connection (Connection 1) is at the connection of the existing 150mm water service pipe and the other (Connection 2) is approximately 99.6m west of Connection 1. Could you provide the available flow and pressure curve for two connections being flowed concurrently for the following scenarios?

- Average day water demand (ADD)
- Maximum day water demand (MDD)
- Maximum day water demand + Fire (MDD + Fire)
- Peak hour water demand (PHD)



Please provide a quote for this boundary request before the modelling checking.

Thanks,

wsp

**Jingwei Zhang, M.Eng., P.Eng., PMP** Senior Project Engineer Municipal Engineering - Ottawa

T+ 1 613-690-1245

WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 wsp.com

From: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Sent: Thursday, January 5, 2023 11:47 AM
To: Zhang, Jingwei <<u>Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com</u>>; 'Shan Goel' <<u>sgoel@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Jason D'Elia
<<u>j.delia@elmdevelopments.com</u>>
Cc: Mark Buchanan <<u>mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Hi Jingwei,

I have reviewed WSP calculation on water/wastewater planning and I am ok with your result.

As mentioned, the next step is I am connecting you and Mark (J.L.Richards). You write an email to Mark summarizing your flowrates (up to you to show your calculation details or not, as I gave the greenlight already), and a figure illustrating your proposed connection points.

Mark, please provide a fee estimate to WSP. Once agreed, you can do the modelling check.

Thanks!

David Shen, P.Eng. Director, Development Services and Engineering Municipality of Mississippi Mills <u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u> 613-880-5996 Website: www.mississippimills.ca



From: Zhang, Jingwei <<u>Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com</u>>
Sent: December 29, 2022 9:11 PM
To: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi David,

Please find attached revised water and wastewater calculations for review. And also please provide the water boundary condition for this site and confirm that the existing sanitary sewer on Ottawa Street has a sufficient capacity to accommodate the peak sewerage flow from this site.

Thanks,

wsp

**Jingwei Zhang, M.Eng., P.Eng., PMP** Senior Project Engineer Municipal Engineering - Ottawa T+ 1 613-690-1245

WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario, K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: McCaughey, Stephen <<u>Stephen.Mccaughey@wsp.com</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, December 6, 2022 2:08 PM
To: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>; Zhang, Jingwei <<u>Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com</u>>
Cc: Robert Smith <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>>; Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Hi David,

Thanks for the comments, we'll have the revised demand estimates shortly. Yes as noted we'll have more detailed information once it comes time for the formal submission, in coordination with the architect for specifics of unit count, etc. We wanted to get initial estimates in for coordination.

Also confirming on my other question: will hydrant testing be required for SPA or will the township's water model provide sufficient evidence of capacity?

Thank you,

**Stephen McCaughey, P.Eng., PMP** T +1 613-690-3955 (Direct) T +1 613-829-2800 (Office)

# wsp

From: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 11:02 AM
To: McCaughey, Stephen <<u>Stephen.Mccaughey@wsp.com</u>>
Cc: Robert Smith <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>>; Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Hi Stephen,

I received this email and your follow-up emails.

Your used an average population multiplying unit number to get your residential population. At this stage, it is fine. In the following submission, please update to each type of the unit density multiplying unit number and sum up.

Your water calculation looks good, the content meets the Municipality's requirement. But I would like to ask you go back and check your calculation. The Maximum (or Peak) hour demand calculation uses the ADD as a base to multiple peaking factor. You can confirm within your firm.

Your wastewater calculation looks good, the content meets the Municipality's requirement. Seems you did not include the commercial building B calculation although there is a summary at the bottom.

For wastewater, if you plan to connect the municipal system twice at two locations, you will need, at a later stage when you figure out the building internal plumbing routing, submit calculation result per each connection.

At a later stage, please include a brief description of your proposed water/wastewater connections to the municipal system, including the existing municipal pipe size (w/ww), slope and proposed connection elevation (ww), manhole up/down stream (ww), and hydrant, VB/VC close by (w).

Please resubmit your calculation results to me, and we can arrange J.L.Richards to check the system capacity for you. I don't need all information I mentioned at this stage (if I label "at a later stage", you are fine for now).

David Shen, P.Eng. Director, Development Services and Engineering Municipality of Mississippi Mills <u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u> 613-880-5996 Website: www.mississippimills.ca



From: McCaughey, Stephen <<u>Stephen.Mccaughey@wsp.com</u>>
Sent: November 21, 2022 9:38 AM
To: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Cc: Robert Smith <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>>; Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello David,

We met at the meeting last week to discussed 430 Ottawa Street. Following that discussion I wanted to provide the sanitary and water demand estimates seeking confirmation of capacity of the existing infrastructure. The existing Master Plan that is publicly available only provides general summaries of capacities that is difficult to state definitively for the purposes of our site plan.

Water:

Avg day: 1.01 L/s Peak hr: 26.64 L/s Max day + fire flow: 203.52 L/s

Sanitary:

Peak: 3.81 L/s

Thank you,

**Stephen McCaughey, P.Eng., PMP** T +1 613-690-3955 (Direct) T +1 613-829-2800 (Office)



From: McCaughey, Stephen
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2022 3:41 PM
To: Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Cc: Robert Smith <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Thank you Cory,

I did find the 2018 Master Plan, which has discussions of both potable water and sanitary capacities but only indicated the summaries. Does the township have the water model or sanitary sewer design sheets from the Master Plan to better define the servicing capacity at our site in question. Also, noting that the Master Plan recommended immediate and short-term work to address capacity issues, can you confirm if any of the work, in the vicinity of our site, has been since completed? Alternatively if we can coordinate with JL Richards for the information, we understand they're acting as the township's third-party engineers.

Also to confirm for the stormwater criteria, we will be designing to "enhanced" quality control (80% TSS removal), correct? What is the quantity control requirements (pre- to post-development up to 100yr; reduction to 5-yr pre-development; etc)?

Much appreciated,

#### **Stephen McCaughey, P.Eng., PMP** T +1 613-690-3955 (Direct) T +1 613-829-2800 (Office)

# wsp

From: Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2022 3:13 PM
To: McCaughey, Stephen <<u>Stephen.Mccaughey@wsp.com</u>>; Robert Smith <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

See below.

Cory Smith, C.Tech. Director of Roads and Public Works Municipality of Mississippi Mills 3131 Old Perth Rd. P.O. Box 400 Almonte, ON KOA 1A0 <u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u> (613)256-2064 x401

From: McCaughey, Stephen <<u>Stephen.Mccaughey@wsp.com</u>> Sent: October 5, 2022 3:04 PM To: Robert Smith <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>> Cc: Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>> Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Robert,

Following up on my below questions for clarification of the civil design criteria for 430 Ottawa St

Thank you!

**Stephen McCaughey, P.Eng., PMP** T +1 613-690-3955 (Direct) T +1 613-829-2800 (Office)



From: McCaughey, Stephen
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2022 10:03 AM
To: Robert Smith <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Cc: Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Thank you Robert,

Can you also confirm the below clarifications for design criteria?

- Are there watermain boundary conditions we can work with to verify watermain pressure during domestic and fire demands?
- No
- Does the municipality have existing sanitary capacity information in order to validate the additional sanitary demand?

There is no recent information available. Please review our water wastewater master plan. <u>https://www.mississippimills.ca/en/municipal-hall/plans-studies-and-</u> reports.aspx#?cludoquery=master%20plan&cludopage=2&cludorefurl=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.mississippimills.c <u>a%2Fen%2Fmunicipal-hall%2Fplans-studies-and-</u> reports.aspx&cludorefpt=Plans%2C%20Studies%20and%20Reports%20-%20Mississippi%20Mills&cludoinputtyp <u>e=standard</u>

What are the storm quantity and quality control criteria? Since the work will be done in stages (new commercial in before existing commercial demolished, followed by residential construction) does the stormwater management need to be implemented during the interim stage (addressing the stormwater of the new construction) or for the final condition?
 We will be looking for enhanced levels.

Thank you,

**Stephen McCaughey, P.Eng., PMP** T +1 613-690-3955 (Direct) T +1 613-829-2800 (Office)

# wsp

From: Robert Smith <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2022 4:33 PM
To: McCaughey, Stephen <<u>Stephen.Mccaughey@wsp.com</u>>
Cc: Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Hi Stephen,

Please see attachments to this email consisting of construction drawings for Ottawa Street and the original site plan for 430 Ottawa Street

Robert Smith, C.Tech.

Roads and Public Works Technologist Municipality of Mississippi Mills 3131 Old Perth Rd. P.O. Box 400 Almonte, ON KOA 1A0 <u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u> (613)256-2064 x404

From: Robert Smith
Sent: September 2, 2022 3:49 PM
To: McCaughey, Stephen <<u>Stephen.Mccaughey@wsp.com</u>>
Cc: Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Hi Stephen,

Apologies for the delay in getting back to you, it's been one of those weeks.

I've done some digging this afternoon for existing servicing drawings for this area and haven't come across anything yet. I've attached a snip of existing servicing to the lot from our GIS which shows the main as 150mm pvc in blue, 200mm pvc sanitary in red, nothing labeled for the storm outfall.

Sadly this is all I can provide in Cory's absence, which I'm sure he can provide additional information to you next week



Regards,

Robert Smith, C.Tech. Roads and Public Works Technologist Municipality of Mississippi Mills 3131 Old Perth Rd. P.O. Box 400 Almonte, ON K0A 1A0 <u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u> (613)256-2064 x404

From: McCaughey, Stephen <<u>Stephen.Mccaughey@wsp.com</u>>
Sent: August 31, 2022 10:19 AM
To: Robert Smith <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Cc: Cory Smith <<u>csmith@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Also, confirming if the municipality has any existing servicing drawings of the site or immediately in front on Ottawa St.

Thank you,

**Stephen McCaughey, P.Eng., PMP** T +1 613-690-3955 (Direct) T +1 613-829-2800 (Office)

## **vs**p

From: McCaughey, Stephen
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2022 9:53 AM
To: 'smithr@mississippimills.ca' <<u>smithr@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: FW: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Hello Robert, I understand Cory is currently away. I'm working on a site plan application for 430 Ottawa St wondering if you can help answer my below questions about the civil criteria in Cory's absence.

Thank you very much,

**Stephen McCaughey, P.Eng., PMP** T +1 613-690-3955 (Direct) T +1 613-829-2800 (Office)

wsp

From: McCaughey, Stephen
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2022 9:50 AM
To:
Subject: 430 Ottawa St SPA - Confirmation of Civil Criteria

Hello Cory,

I'm working on the civil scope of the 430 Ottawa St. site plan approval under development, the site had previously undergone zoning amendment. I have the pre-consultation notes and there were some initial questions I wanted to clarify:

- Are there watermain boundary conditions we can work with to verify watermain pressure during domestic and fire demands?

- Does the municipality have existing sanitary capacity information in order to validate the additional sanitary demand?
- What are the storm quantity and quality control criteria? Since the work will be done in stages (new commercial in before existing commercial demolished, followed by residential construction) does the stormwater management need to be implemented during the interim stage (addressing the stormwater of the new construction) or for the final condition?

Thank you very much,

#### Stephen McCaughey, P.Eng., PMP

Project Engineer Municipal Infrastructure



T +1 613-690-3955 (Direct) T +1 613-829-2800 (Office)

300-2611 Queensview Drive Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies. You are receiving this communication because you are listed as a current WSP contact. Should you have any questions regarding WSP's electronic communications policy, please consult our Anti-Spam Commitment at <a href="https://www.wsp.com/casl">www.wsp.com/casl</a>. For any concern or if you believe you should not be receiving this message, please forward this message to <a href="https://wsg.com/casl">caslcompliance@wsp.com</a> so that we can promptly address your request. Note that not all messages sent by WSP qualify as commercial electronic messages.

AVIS : Ce message, incluant tout fichier l'accompagnant (« le message »), peut contenir des renseignements ou de l'information privilégiés, confidentiels, propriétaires ou à divulgation restreinte en vertu de la loi. Ce message est destiné à l'usage exclusif du/des destinataire(s) voulu(s). Toute utilisation non permise, divulgation, lecture, reproduction, modification, diffusion ou distribution est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, ou que vous n'êtes pas un destinataire autorisé ou voulu, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire le message et toute copie électronique ou imprimée. Vous recevez cette communication car vous faites partie des contacts de WSP. Si vous avez des questions concernant la politique de communications électroniques de WSP, veuillez consulter notre Engagement anti-pourriel au <a href="http://www.wsp.com/lcap">www.wsp.com/lcap</a>. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au <a href="http://configue.com/cap">conformitelcap@wsp.com/cap</a>. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez sont pas tous les messages transmis par WSP qui constituent des messages electroniques commerciaux.

-LAEmHhHzdJzBITWfa4Hgs7pbKl



#### **BUILDING AREAS:**

**RESIDENTIAL: 124 UNITS** COMMERCIAL:  $1200m^2 + 1200m^2 = 2400m^2 (25833ft^2)$ 

PARKING REQUIRED: RESIDENTIAL: 124 @ 1.4 SPACES/UNIT: 124 X 1.4 = 174 SPACES

COMMERCIAL: 2400m<sup>2</sup> @ 2.5 SPACES/100m<sup>2</sup>:

PARKING SHOWN: RESIDENTIAL:

46 SURFACE SPACES (ALL NORTH OF RESIDENTIAL) 127 UNDERGROUND PARKING 20 VISITORS PARKING 193 TOTAL COMMERCIAL: 68 SPACES

30%

2400 x 2.5/100 = 60 SPACES

## LANDSCAPE AREAS:

BUILDING FOOTPRINT:	2941m <sup>2</sup>
PARKING, DRIVEWAYS:	4910m <sup>2</sup>
landscape:	8619m <sup>2</sup>
TOTAL:	16470m <sup>2</sup>
LANDSCAPE:	52%
COMMERCIAL:	
BUILDING FOOTPRINT:	2349m <sup>2</sup>
PARKING, DRIVEWAYS:	3944m <sup>2</sup>
LANDSCAPE:	<u>2681m<sup>2</sup></u>
TOTAL:	8974m <sup>2</sup>

landscape:



PLOT DATE: November 17, 2022

Fire Protection Flow Calculation Sheet					
		Building A -Wood	Frame Combustible		
Project Name:	430 Ottawa St SPA			Prepared by:	Zhidong Pan
Project Number:	221-06853-00			Date:	3/3/2023
1. Initial estimate	of fire flow				
1.1 Total Building	g Floor Area (A)			I	
-Basement if 50	)% above grade				- 1 140
-First floor					1,149
-Second floor					-
- I hird floor				l	1 1/0
Total A (m2)	_				
1.2 Construction	Type	ly all compustible)		-	1 5
					1.5
1.3 Required fire	flow				11 000
$F = 220 \text{ C A}^{\text{ord}}$ (L	./min)				11,000
					-
F1 (L/min) =					11,000
2. Building occupa	ncy charge				Chargo
2.1 Occupancy Ty	/pe			-	15%
2. Limited Combus	stible			•	-15%
2.2 Required fire	flow				0.250
F2 (L/min)					9,350
3. Sprinkler system					Reduction
3.1 Automatic sp	rinkier system			-	0
4. Non-sphinkler's	usti - u			•	0
3.2 Fire flow real	lction				_
ΔF3 (L/min)					-
4. Exposure structure Stru	enaration (m)	Number of Expos	ure Structures	Charge (%)	Add Fire Flow (I /min)
0-3		0		25%	
3.1 - 10		0		20%	2 805
10.1 - 20		2		15%	2,805
20.1 - 30		0		10%	
30.1 - 45		0		0%	-
ΔF4 (L/min)					2,805
5 Final anti i	f fine flam				
5. Final estimate $o_{1}$	<b>f fire flow</b>				12 000
$\Gamma - \Gamma Z - \Delta F 3 + \Delta F$	4 (L/11111)				12,000

	Fir	e Protection Flo	w Calculation	Sheet	
		Building A - Ord	inary Construction		
Project Name: 43 Project Number: 22	0 Ottawa St SPA 1-06853-00			Prepared by: Date:	Zhidong Pan 3/3/2023
1. Initial estimate of fi	ire flow				
1.1 Total Building Flo	oor Area (A)				
-Basement if 50% a	above grade				-
-First floor					1,149
-Second floor					-
-Third floor					-
Total A (m2)					1,149
1.2 Construction Typ	e				C-value
6. Type III Ordinary Co	instruction			•	1
1.3 Required fire flow	N				
F = 220 C A <sup>0.5</sup> (L/mi	n)				7,000
Wood Shingle		Wood Shake			-
F1 (L/min) =					7,000
2. Building occupancy	charge				
2.1 Occupancy Type					Charge
2. Limited Combustible	e			-	-15%
2.2 Required fire flow	N				
F2 (L/min)					5,950
3. Sprinkler system red	duction				
3.1 Automatic sprink	ler system				Reduction
4. Non-sprinkler syste	m			•	0
3.2 Fire flow reduction	on				
ΔF3 (L/min)					-
4. Exposure structures	1				
Structure Sepa	ration (m)	Number of Expo	sure Structures	Charge (%)	Add Fire Flow (L/min)
0 - 3		0		25%	-
3.1 - 10		C		20%	-
10.1 - 20		2		15%	1,785
20.1 - 30		C		10%	-
30.1 - 45		0		0%	-
ΔF4 (L/min)					1,785
5. Final estimate of fir	e flow				
F = F2 - ΔF3 + ΔF4 (L	/min)				8,000

Fire Protection Flow Calculation Sheet					
	Building B -Wood	Frame Combustible			
Project Name: 430 Ottawa S Project Number: 221-06853-0	St SPA		Prepared by: Date:	Zhidong Pan 3/3/2023	
1 Initial estimate of fire flow			Dute.	5,5,2025	
1. Initial estimate of fire flow	١				
-Basement if 50% above grad	)		1	-	
-Eirst floor	e			1.200	
-Second floor			-	-	
-Third floor				-	
Total A (m2)			L	1,200	
1.2 Construction Type				C-value	
1. Type V Wood frame (structure e	essentially all combustible)		•	1.5	
1 3 Required fire flow					
$F = 220 C A^{0.5} (I /min)$				11,000	
Wood Shingle	Wood Shake			-	
E1 (I /min) =	_			11,000	
2. Building occupancy charge					
2 1 Occupancy Type				Charge	
2. Limited Combustible			-	-15%	
2.2 Required fire flow			<u>_</u>		
F2 (L/min)				9,350	
3. Sprinkler system reduction					
3.1 Automatic sprinkler system				Reduction	
4. Non-sprinkler system			•	0	
3.2 Fire flow reduction					
ΔF3 (L/min)				-	
4. Exposure structures					
Structure Separation (m)	Number of Expo	sure Structures	Charge (%)	Add Fire Flow (L/min)	
0 - 3	0		25%	-	
3.1 - 10	0		20%	-	
10.1 - 20	1		15%	1,403	
20.1 - 30	0		10%	-	
30.1 - 45	0		0%	-	
ΔF4 (L/min)				1,403	
5. Final estimate of fire flow					
F = F2 - ΔF3 + ΔF4 (L/min)				11,000	

Fire Protection Flow Calculation Sheet					
		Building B - Ord	inary Construction		
Project Name: Project Number:	430 Ottawa St SPA 221-06853-00			Prepared by: Date:	Zhidong Pan 3/3/2023
1. Initial estimate o	f fire flow				.,.,.
1.1 Total Building	Floor Area (A)				
-Basement if 50	% above grade				-
-First floor					1,200
-Second floor					-
-Third floor					-
Total A (m2)					1,200
1.2 Construction	Туре				C-value
6. Type III Ordinary	Construction			•	1
1.3 Required fire f	low				
F = 220 C A <sup>0.5</sup> (L/	′min)				8,000
Wood Shingle		Wood Shake			-
F1 (L/min) =					8,000
2. Building occupan	cy charge				
2.1 Occupancy Ty	pe				Charge
2. Limited Combus	tible			-	-15%
2.2 Required fire f	low				
F2 (L/min)					6,800
3. Sprinkler system	reduction				
3.1 Automatic spr	inkler system				Reduction
4. Non-sprinkler sy	rstem			-	0
3.2 Fire flow redu	ction				
∆F3 (L/min)					-
4. Exposure structu	res				
Structure Se	paration (m)	Number of Expo	sure Structures	Charge (%)	Add Fire Flow (L/min)
0 - 3		C		25%	-
3.1 - 10		C		20%	-
10.1 - 20		1		15%	1,020
20.1 - 30		C		10%	-
30.1 - 45		C		0%	-
∆F4 (L/min)					1,020
5. Final estimate of	fire flow				
F = F2 - ΔF3 + ΔF4	(L/min)				8,000

	F	ire Protection Flow	Calculation Sheet		
		Residential Building -Wood	l Frame Combustible		
Project Name:	430 Ottawa St SP	A	Prep	ared by:	Zhidong Pan
Project Number:	221-06853-00			Date:	3/3/2023
1. Initial estimate	of fire flow				
1.1 Total Building	g Floor Area (A)				
-Basement if 50	D% above grade				- 1 200
-First floor					1,800
-Second floor					1,800
- I hird floor					1,800
-Fourth floor					7 200
1 2 Construction	Tuno			ļ	C-value
1. Type V Wood fr	rame (structure essen	tially all combustible)		•	1.5
1 3 Required fire	` flow		-		
$F = 220 C \Delta^{0.5} (I)$	/min)				28,000
Wood Shingle		Wood Shake			-
F1 (I/min) =		_			28,000
2. Building occupa	ncy charge				
2.1 Occupancy Ty	vpe				Charge
2. Limited Combu	stible			•	-15%
2.2 Required fire	flow				
F2 (L/min)					23,800
3. Sprinkler system	n reduction				
3.1 Automatic sp	rinkler system				Reduction
3. Fully supervised	d system			•	0.5
3.2 Fire flow redu	uction				
ΔF3 (L/min)					11,900
4. Exposure structu	ures		o	(2))	
Structure S	eparation (m)	Number of Exposure	Structures Charg	e (%)	Add Fire Flow (L/min)
0 - 3		0		25%	-
3.1 - 10		0		20%	-
10.1 - 20		0		15%	-
20.1 - 30		0		10%	-
30.1 - 45		0		0%	-
ΔF4 (L/min)					-
5. Final estimate o	f fire flow				12.000
$F = F2 - \Delta F3 + \Delta F$	4 (L/min)				12,000

Fire Protection Flow Calculation Sheet					
		Residential Building -	Ordinary Construct	ion	
Project Name:	430 Ottawa St SPA			Prepared by:	Zhidong Pan
Project Number:	221-06853-00			Date:	3/3/2023
1. Initial estimate o	of fire flow				
1.1 Total Building	Floor Area (A)			Г	
-Basement if 50	% above grade			-	-
-First floor				-	1,800
-Second floor					1,800
-Third floor					1,800
-Fourth floor					1,800
Total A (m2)					7,200
1.2 Construction	Туре				C-value
6. Type III Ordinary	/ Construction			•	1
1.3 Required fire	flow				
F = 220 C A <sup>0.5</sup> (L	/min)				19,000
Wood Shingle		Wood Shake			-
F1 (L/min) =					19,000
2. Building occupar	ncy charge				
2.1 Occupancy Ty	pe				Charge
2. Limited Combus	tible			•	-15%
2.2 Required fire	flow				
F2 (L/min)					16,150
3. Sprinkler system	reduction				
3.1 Automatic spr	rinkler system				Reduction
3. Fully supervised	system			-	0.5
3.2 Fire flow redu	iction				
ΔF3 (L/min)					8,075
4. Exposure structu	ires				
Structure Se	eparation (m)	Number of Expos	ure Structures	Charge (%)	Add Fire Flow (L/min)
0 - 3		0		25%	-
3.1 - 10		0		20%	-
10.1 - 20		0		15%	-
20.1 - 30		0		10%	-
30.1 - 45		0		0%	-
ΔF4 (L/min)					-
, , ,					
5. Final estimate of	f fire flow				
$F = F2 - \Delta F3 + \Delta F4$	4 (L/min)				8,000

Fire Protection Flow Calculation Sheet					
		Residential Building	- Non-combustible	е	
Project Name: Project Number:	430 Ottawa St SPA 221-06853-00			Prepared by: Date:	Zhidong Pan 3/3/2023
1. Initial estimate og	f fire flow				
1.1 Total Building	Floor Area (A)				
-Basement if 50%	% above grade				-
-First floor					1,800
-Second floor					1,800
-Third floor					1,800
-Fourth floor					1,800
Total A (m2)					7,200
1.2 Construction T	уре				C-value
7. Type II Non-com	bustible Construction			-	0.8
1.3 Required fire f	low				
F = 220 C A <sup>0.5</sup> (L/	min)				15,000
Wood Shingle		Wood Shake			-
F1 (L/min) =					15,000
2. Building occupan	cy charge				
2.1 Occupancy Typ	be				Charge
2. Limited Combust	ible			•	-15%
2.2 Required fire f	low				
F2 (L/min)					12,750
3. Sprinkler system	reduction				
3.1 Automatic spri	inkler system				Reduction
3. Fully supervised	system			•	0.5
3.2 Fire flow reduc	ction				
ΔF3 (L/min)					6,375
4. Exposure structur	res				
Structure Se	paration (m)	Number of Exposi	ure Structures	Charge (%)	Add Fire Flow (L/min)
0 - 3		0		25%	-
3.1 - 10		0		20%	-
10.1 - 20		0		15%	-
20.1 - 30		0		10%	-
30.1 - 45		0		0%	-
ΔF4 (L/min)					-
5. Final estimate of	fire flow				
F = F2 - ΔF3 + ΔF4	(L/min)				6,000





FlexTable: Junction Table (Current Time: 0.000 hours) (430\_OttawaSt\_SPA\_WaterModel\_20230303.wtg) 

	Label 🔺	Elevation (m)	Demand (L/s)	Hydraulic Grade (m)	Pressure (kPa)	Fire Flow (Available) (L/s)
30: CON-1	CON-1	139.78	0.00	179.71	391	184.33
41: CON-2	CON-2	140.28	0.00	179.71	386	154.95
31: J-2	J-2	140.03	0.00	179.71	388	167.73
33: J-3	J-3	140.03	0.24	179.71	388	165.70
35: J-4	]-4	140.03	0.00	179.71	388	156.76
37: J-5	J-5	140.03	4.43	179.70	388	150.95
39: J-6	J-6	140.03	0.20	179.70	388	152.88



# D SANITARY SEWER DESIGN CALCULATION SHEET

Greater than 100 ha: Foundation Drain Allowance

#### SANITARY SEWAGE - PROPOSED SANITARY FLOWS

Average Wastewater Flows:		
Residential	280	L/c/d
Commercial	28,000	L/gross ha/d
Institutional	28,000	L/gross ha/d
Light Industrial	35,000	L/gross ha/d
Heavy Industrial	55,000	L/gross ha/d
Peaking Factors:		

r calling ractors		
Residential	Harmon Equation	
Commercial (>20% Area)	1.5	
Commercial (<20% Area)	1.0	
Institutional (>20% Area)	1.5	
Institutional (<20% Area)	1.0	
Industrial	Per Figure in Appendix 4-B	
Peak Extraneous Flows:		
Infiltration Allowance	0.33	
Less than 10 ha:		
Foundation Drain Allowance	5.0	
10 ha - 100 ha:		
Foundation Drain Allowance	3.0	

Unit Type	Person Per Unit	Unit Count
Single Family	3.4	
Semi-detached	2.7	
Duplex	2.3	
Townhouse (row)	2.7	
Apartments:		
Bachelor	1.4	
1 Bedroom	1.4	
2 Bedroom	2.1	
3 Bedroom	3.1	
Average Apt.	1.8	124
	Total Population:	223
	Residential Area (ha):	1.6
	Commercial A Area (ha):	0.4
	Commercial B Area (ha):	0.5
	Total Area (ha)	2.54

2.0

Ì	Reside	ntial Buildi	ng	Commerc	ial Buil	ding A	Commercial Building B					
Demand Type=	Residential			Commercial			Commercial					
Average Day Demand=	280		L/c/d	28,000		L/gross ha/d	28,000		L/gross ha/d			
Population	223			0			0					
Site Area (ha)	1.648			0.404			0.491					
	280	х	223	28,000	х	0	28,000	х	0			
	62,496		L/day	11,313		L/day	13,760		L/day			
Average Daily Flow=	0.72		L/s	0.13		L/s	0.16		L/s			
Peaking Factor Type	Residential			Commercial			Commercial					
Peaking Factor	3.50		*Max=4	1.50		*Max=4	1.50		*Max=4			
	3.50	х	average day	1.50	х	average day	1.50	х	average day			
	3.50	х	62,496	1.50	х	11,313	1.50	х	13,760			
	219,000		L/day	16,970		L/day	20,641		L/day			
Peak Daily Flow=	2.53		L/s	0.20		L/s	0.24		L/s			
Infiltration Allowance	0.33			0.33			0.33					
	0.33	х	lot area	0.33	х	lot area	0.33	х	lot area			
	0.33	х	1.6	0.33	х	0.4	0.33	х	0.5			
Peak Extraneous Flow=	0.54		L/s	0.13		L/s	0.16		L/s			
	peak daily flow	+	extraneous flow	peak daily flow	+	extraneous flow	peak daily flow	+	extraneous flow			
	2.53	+	0.54	0.20	+	0.13	0.24	+	0.16			
Total Peak Design Flow=	3.08		L/s	0.33		L/s	0.40		L/s			

Residential		
Peak Design Flow =	3.08	L/s
Commercial Building A		
Peak Design Flow =	0.33	L/s
Commercial Building B		
Peak Design Flow =	0.40	L/s
Total Peak Design Flow =	3.81	L/s

$$P.F. = 1 + \left(\frac{14}{4 + \left(\frac{P}{1000}\right)^{\wedge}0.5}\right) * K$$
  
where P = population  
K = correction factor = 0.8

#### 430 Ottawa Street SPA\_Sanitary Sewer System Design Sheet

																														-		1							
7-Mar-23																															Mannings	0.013 د	1						
																														Sewage	e/dwelling uni'	t 350	lpcd						
																														MH Flow	v Allowance	0	I/min/manhc	le					
												DOMESTIC WAS	STEWATER FL	OWS										EXTRANE	EOUS WASTEWAT	R FLOWS				WT Flow	v Allowance	0	I/min/dwellin	q					
						POPULA	TION BASED							AREA E	ASED					TOTAL DOM	IESTIC FLOWS			ARE	EA BASED		TOTAL	GRAN	ID TOTALS			Designed	Slopes	·,					
Pipe	US Manho	ble DS	S Manhole	Population	U/S Population	Total Population	Average Population Based Domestic Flow (I/s)	n Harmon Factor	Peak Populatio Based Domestic F (I/s)	Land Use	e Area (ha)	Area Based Wastewater Flow Allowance (L/Ha/day)	Catchment Area Based Average Domestic Flow (I/s)	Upstream Area Based Average Domestic Flow (I/s)	Total Area Based Average Domestic Flow (l/s)	Area Based Flow Peaking Factor	Catchment Area Based Domestic Peak Flow (I/s)	Upstream Area Based Peak Domestic Flow (I/s)	Total Area Based Peak Domestic Flow (I/s)	Total Average Domestic Flow (l/s)	Total Peak Domestic Flow (l/s)	U/S Area (Ha)	Total E Area (Ha) A	rea Based xtraneous Flow Illowance (L/Ha/s)	Catchment Upst Area Based Area Extraneous Flow (I/s)	ream Total Area Based Based Extraneou (I/s) Flow (I/s)	Total Extraneous Flow (I/s)	TOTAL AVERAG FLOW (I/s	E PEAK FLOW (I/s)	Length	Diameter (mm)	Slope	Full Capacity (I/sec)	% Full Flow (Average)	% Full Fu Flow Ve (Peak) (	ull flow U/S elocity Inve (m/s) (m	D/S The D/S Invert (m)	RIM De (m) (r	epth (m)
																																	1	/					
1	WWS-MH1	WW	VS-MH2	22	23	0 2	23 0.	90 3.5	) 3	17 Residenti	al 1.65	(	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	3.17	0.00	1.65	0.33	0.54	0.00 0.5	4 0.5	i4 1.•	45 3.71	59	3.3 200	J 0.65%	26.52	5.5%	14.0% (	0.84 137	.05 136.66	141.85 4.	.80
2	WWS-MH2	CON	NNECTION		0 22	23 23	23 0.	90 3.5	) 3	17 Commerci	ial 0.90	28,000	0.29	0.00	0.29	1.50	0.44	0.00	0.44	1.19	3.60	1.65	2.54	0.33	0.30	0.54 0.8	4 0.8	14 2.1	03 4.44	57	/.5 200	J 0.62%	25.91	7.8%	17.1% (	0.83 136	.60 136.24	140.65 4.	.05
		·	*F	ull Capacity of	alculated usin	g Mannings eo	quation: Q = C	Cm/n*A*R 2/3*	S <sup>1/2</sup> Cm = 1.	0 (S.I)	÷											-					•					·				<u>.</u>		······	

#### Zhang, Jingwei

From:	Annie Williams <awilliams@jlrichards.ca></awilliams@jlrichards.ca>
Sent:	Friday, July 7, 2023 5:21 PM
То:	Zhang, Jingwei; David Shen
Cc:	Mark Buchanan; Shan Goel; Jason D'Elia; Bobby Pettigrew; Mathieu Lacelle
Subject:	RE: 430 Ottawa Street SPA - Sanitary flow modelling check

Hi Jingwei, David,

In response to the email from Jingwei Zhang (June 22, 2023), one scenario was assessed based on the future servicing requirements outlined in the email. The scenario used the flow breakdown provided by WSP in the corresponding email.

In previous email correspondences, the approved flow for the Ottawa Street development was 4.44 L./s. This value accounted for peak daily flows and extraneous flows from the proposed residential and commercial buildings and used a peaking factor of 4 for the residential and 1.5 for the commercial flows.

Since the extent of the sanitary sewer infrastructure will not change significantly with the proposed site concept, the portion of the 4.44 L/s attributed to extraneous flows has been removed from the proposed flow and the calibrated extraneous flows for the area, already present in the model, will be used to represent the I&I component.

The master planning level modelling being carried out applies a calibrated daily flow pattern to provide a dynamic input into the model, therefore the average flow based on population and commercial area will be used rather than peak flow rates incorporating the peaking factor. To calculate the average flows from the proposed retrofit project, population and area values were extracted directly from the site servicing report. A population of 223 and an ICI area of 0.9 ha were used with parameters agreed upon with the municipality for in the Mississippi Mills Master Plan. The resulting average flows generated by the proposed development is 1.195 L/s, which represents the sum of average daily flows for the proposed residential and commercial buildings. The following scenario was assessed in the dynamic calibrated trunk sewer sanitary model:

Location:	SA4MH-109, Northeast of the intersection of Sadler Drive and Ottawa Street	Total
Scenario 1	full buildout population (223 population, 0.9 ha	full buildout population (223 population, 0.9 ha
	total ICI Area, no extraneous area due to retrofit)	total ICI Area, no extraneous area due to retrofit)

In assessing future capacity two constraints were assessed:

- Maintaining free flow capacity in the dry weather flow scenario; and,
- Maintaining 1.8 metre freeboard to the ground elevation in the 1:25 year return period event storm to protect basements. Where the current sewer is already within the basement elevation the HGL is restricted to 0.3m above the sewer.

#### In summary:

#### **DWF Event Scenarios:**

- No capacity concerns under the DWF event have been triggered by the 430 Ottawa Street Development in the dynamic calibrated dry weather flow event for **Scenario 1** above.

#### 25-year Storm Events:

- No capacity concerns under the 25-year storm event have been triggered by the 430 Ottawa Street Development in the dynamic calibrated dry weather flow event for Scenario 1 above. The proposed development flows do not impact areas of concern under the existing condition.

Note that the foregoing model results are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation. We have not reviewed the adequacy of the wastewater flow calculations for the proposed development, which remains the responsibility of the Developer's Engineer.

The model results are based on current simulated operation of the Municipality's sewer collection system. The computer model simulations are based on the best information available at this time. The operation of the system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in the boundary conditions. It is further noted that the operational characteristics of the wastewater collection system and physical properties of the sewers can change and/or deteriorate over time. These changes may affect the collection characteristics of the system and the assumptions made in developing the model, which in turn could lead to variations in the simulation results. This should be considered by any third party undertaking simulation of system upgrades.

Any questions on the above let us know, Annie

Annie Williams, P.Eng. Civil Engineer

J.L. Richards & Associates Limited 1000-343 Preston Street, Ottawa, ON K1S 1N4 Direct: 343-803-4523





From: Mark Buchanan <mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 3:01 PM
To: Zhang, Jingwei <Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com>
Cc: Shan Goel <sgoel@elmdevelopments.com>; Jason D'Elia <j.delia@elmdevelopments.com>; Annie Williams
<awilliams@jlrichards.ca>; David Shen <dshen@mississippimills.ca>

Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa Street SPA - Sanitary flow modelling check

Understood. Thanks for confirming Jingwei.

Mark

From: Zhang, Jingwei <<u>Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 2:47 PM
To: Mark Buchanan <<u>mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca</u>>
Cc: Shan Goel <<u>sgoel@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Jason D'Elia <<u>j.delia@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Annie Williams
<<u>awilliams@jlrichards.ca</u>>; David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa Street SPA - Sanitary flow modelling check

Hi Mark,

The peak design flow of 4.44 L/s was confirmed. Please use this number for the modelling check.

Thanks,

## **WSD**

#### Jingwei Zhang, M.Eng., P.Eng., PMP

Senior Project Engineer Municipal Engineering - Ottawa

T+ 1 613-690-1245

WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario, K2B 8K2 Canada From: Zhang, Jingwei
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 9:08 AM
To: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Cc: Shan Goel <<u>sgoel@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Jason D'Elia <<u>j.delia@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Annie Williams
<<u>awilliams@jlrichards.ca</u>>; Mark Buchanan <<u>mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa Street SPA - Sanitary flow modelling check

Hi David,

The peak design flow of 4.4 L/s was calculated based on Ottawa Design Guidelines - Sewer October 2012 as requested by the town. It was reviewed and approved by the town. I have attached the detail calculation spreadsheet and the corresponding email to this email.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

wsp

**Jingwei Zhang, M.Eng., P.Eng., PMP** Senior Project Engineer Municipal Engineering - Ottawa

T+ 1 613-690-1245

WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario, K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Mark Buchanan <<u>mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca</u>>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 8:39 AM
To: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>; Zhang, Jingwei <<u>Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com</u>>
Cc: Shan Goel <<u>sgoel@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Jason D'Elia <<u>j.delia@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Annie Williams
<<u>awilliams@jlrichards.ca</u>>

Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa Street SPA - Sanitary flow modelling check

Thanks David,

Agreed, this is a straightforward run, but we'll hang tight until the peak flow is confirmed.

Jingwei: Please confirm the peak flow we are to input in the model.

Regards, Mark

Mark Buchanan, P.Eng. Associate Senior Environmental Engineer

J.L. Richards & Associates Limited 1000-343 Preston Street, Ottawa, ON K1S 1N4 Direct: 343-804-5349





From: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 8:30 AM
To: Zhang, Jingwei <<u>Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com</u>>; Mark Buchanan <<u>mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca</u>>
Cc: Shan Goel <<u>sgoel@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Jason D'Elia <<u>j.delia@elmdevelopments.com</u>>
Subject: RE: 430 Ottawa Street SPA - Sanitary flow modelling check

**[CAUTION]** This email originated from outside JLR. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If in doubt, please forward suspicious emails to Helpdesk.

Hi Mark,

The file of 430 Ottawa has been analyzed on water side, but not wastewater side.

Jingwei, can you ensure you are using the correct version of peak flow that was discussed yesterday (since there are more than one version).

The analysis should be very straightforward.

Thanks! David

From: Zhang, Jingwei <<u>Jingwei.Zhang@wsp.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 7:03 AM
To: Mark Buchanan <<u>mbuchanan@jlrichards.ca</u>>
Cc: David Shen <<u>dshen@mississippimills.ca</u>>; Shan Goel <<u>sgoel@elmdevelopments.com</u>>; Jason D'Elia
<<u>j.delia@elmdevelopments.com</u>>
Subject: 430 Ottawa Street SPA - Sanitary flow modelling check

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Mark,

Could you do a modeling check to see if the existing 200 sanitary sewer on Ottawa Street has sufficient capacity to accommodate a total peak flow of 4.44 L/s from this site? As shown in the screenshot below, the proposed connection point at the existing 200 sanitary sewer is 26.6m away from the nearest downstream manhole and 66m from the west property line.



If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me

Thanks,

NSD

#### Jingwei Zhang, M.Eng., P.Eng., PMP Senior Project Engineer

Municipal Engineering - Ottawa

T+ 1 613-690-1245

WSP Canada Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario, K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies. You are receiving this communication because you are listed as a current WSP contact. Should you have any questions regarding WSP's electronic communications policy, please consult our Anti-Spam Commitment at <a href="https://www.wsp.com/casil">www.wsp.com/casil</a>. For any concern or if you believe you should not be receiving this message, please forward this message to <a href="https://wsg.com/casil">casicompliance@wsp.com</a> so that we can promptly address your request. Note that not all messages sent by WSP qualify as commercial electronic messages.

AVIS : Ce message, incluant tout fichier l'accompagnant (« le message »), peut contenir des renseignements ou de l'information privilégiés, confidentiels, propriétaires ou à divulgation restreinte en vertu de la loi. Ce message est destiné à l'usage exclusif du/des destinataire(s) voulu(s). Toute utilisation non permise, divulgation, lecture, reproduction, modification, diffusion ou distribution est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, ou que vous n'êtes pas un destinataire autorisé ou voulu, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire le message et toute copie électronique ou imprimée. Vous recevez cette communication car vous faites partie des contacts de WSP. Si vous avez des questions concernant la politique de communications électroniques de WSP, veuillez consulter notre Engagement anti-pourriel au <a href="http://www.wsp.com/lcap">www.wsp.com/lcap</a>. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au <a href="http://confidencemptile.com">conformitelcap@wsp.com</a> afin que nous puissions rapidement traiter votre demande. Notez que ce ne sont pas tous les messages transmis par WSP qui constituent des messages electroniques commerciaux.









SCALE:	DATE:	SKETCH No.
1:400	MARCH 27, 2023	SKETCH 1

	STORM SEWER CALCULATION SPREADSHEET																		
Job Name: Job Number: Date:	430 430 221 13-	Ottawa Stre Ottawa Stre -06853-00 Jul-2023	et - SPA et, Almonte,	, ON	-				_				_	-	Design Sto Calculation	rm: City of C Method: Ra	0ttawa 5 ye tional Met	ar Design : hod	Storm
U/S MH	D/S MH	Catchment ID	Catchment Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Total Time (min)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Peak Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Pipe Diameter (mm)	Pipe Length (m)	Pipe Slope (%)	Manning's "n"	Capacity (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Velocity (m/s)	Travel Time (min)	Invert U/S MH (m)	Invert D/S MH (m)	Manhole Drop (m)	Ground U/S MH (m)	Cover U/S MH (m)	Q/Q full Ratio %
CB01	CBMH01		902	10.00	104.19	0.0188	200	24.8	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.40	139.360	139.112	0.18	141.35	1.99	57.4%
CBMH01	CBMH02	S-2	1098	10.40	102.15	0.0411	375	53.1	0.28%	0.013	0.0934	0.85	1.05	138.932	138.781	0.10	141.23	2.30	44.0%
CB2	CBMH02	S-3	435	10.00	104.19	0.0025	200	7.5	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.12	138.865	138.790	0.18	141.10	2.23	7.7%
CBMH02	Main 1	S-4	895	11.44	97.15	0.0617	375	24.3	0.29%	0.013	0.0944	0.85	0.47	138.681	138.610	0.00	140.24	1.56	65.3%
CB 03	CB 04	S-5	292	10.00	104.19	0.0017	200	4.0	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.06	138.700	138.660	0.02	140.10	1.40	5.2%
CB 04	Main 1	S-6	631	10.06	103.86	0.0099	200	3.0	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.05	138.640	138.610	0.00	140.33	1.69	30.2%
Main 1	STM MH01			11.92	95.06	0.0694	375	17.1	0.29%	0.013	0.0944	0.85	0.33	138.610	138.561	0.02	140.39	1.78	73.5%
STM MH01	CBMH03			12.25	93.64	0.0684	375	25.3	0.28%	0.013	0.0928	0.84	0.50	138.541	138.470	0.02	140.30	1.76	73.7%
CBMH03	Main 2	S-7	2833	12.75	91.60	0.1192	450	10.2	0.27%	0.013	0.1484	0.93	0.18	138.450	138.422	0.00	140.25	1.80	80.3%
CB 05	Main 2	S-8	927	10.00	104.19	0.0054	200	8.6	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.14	138.808	138.722	0.30	140.15	1.34	16.4%
Main 2	STM MH02			12.93	90.89	0.1229	450	37.6	0.27%	0.013	0.1484	0.93	0.67	138.422	138.320	0.10	140.00	1.58	82.8%
CB 06	STM MH02	S-9	871	10.00	104.19	0.0127	200	33.7	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.54	138.887	138.550	0.33	140.54	1.65	38.8%
STM MH02	Main 3			13.60	88.35	0.1303	525	6.2	0.28%	0.013	0.2276	1.05	0.10	138.225	138.208	0.00	140.62	2.39	57.3%
CB07	Main 3	S-10	2751	10.00	104.19	0.0485	250	6.0	1.00%	0.013	0.0595	1.21	0.08	138.628	138.568	0.36	140.25	1.62	81.5%
Main 3	STM MH03			13.70	88.00	0.1707	525	29.6	0.28%	0.013	0.2276	1.05	0.47	138.208	138.125	0.08	140.40	2.19	75.0%
CB08	CBMH06	S-11	453	10.00	104.19	0.0100	200	19.2	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.31	138.942	138.750	0.18	140.25	1.31	30.5%
CBMH06	CBMH07	S-12	198	10.31	102.60	0.0136	375	15.7	0.32%	0.013	0.0992	0.90	0.29	138.570	138.520	0.02	140.25	1.68	13.7%
CBMH07	Main 4	S-13	108	10.60	101.14	0.0140	375	13.0	0.29%	0.013	0.0936	0.85	0.26	138.500	138.463	0.00	140.25	1.75	14.9%

CB 09	Main 4	S-14	463	10.00	104.19	0.0110	200	2.2	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.04	138.715	138.693	0.23	140.25	1.54	33.5%
	N4 : 5			40.00	404.44	0.0040	075	40.0	0.000/	0.040	0.0000	0.05	0.00	400.400	400 447	0.00	440.00	4.04	26.20/
Main 4	Main 5			10.60	101.14	0.0246	375	16.2	0.29%	0.013	0.0936	0.85	0.32	138.463	138.417	0.00	140.30	1.84	26.3%
Building A	Main 5	S-15	1164	10.00	104.19	0.0303	200	5.6	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.09	138.658	138.602	0.19	140.45	1.79	92.4%
5																			
Main 5	Main 6			10.92	99.59	0.0532	375	2.7	0.29%	0.013	0.0936	0.85	0.05	138.417	138.409	0.00	140.30	1.88	56.9%
CB 10	Main 6	S-16	350	10.00	104.19	0.0073	200	2.2	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.04	138.661	138.639	0.23	140.25	1.59	22.4%
Main 6	Main 7			10.97	99.34	0.0601	375	22.6	0.29%	0.013	0.0936	0.85	0.44	138.409	138.345	0.00	140.30	1.89	64.2%
CB 11	Main 7	S-17	606	10.00	104.19	0.0126	200	2.2	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.04	138.597	138.575	0.23	140.25	1.65	38.6%
Main 7	STM MH03			11.41	97.27	0.0707	375	22.6	0.29%	0.013	0.0936	0.85	0.44	138.345	138.280	0.23	140.30	1.96	75.5%
CBMH08	Main 8	S-19	4157	10.00	104,19	0.0689	450	39.1	0.28%	0.013	0.1506	0.95	0.69	138,696	138,587	0.00	140.25	1.55	45.7%
									0.2070			0.00				0.00			101770
CB 12	Main 8	S-20	874	10.00	104.19	0.0142	200	2.2	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.04	138.909	138.887	0.30	140.30	1.39	43.4%
Main 8	Main 9			10.69	100.69	0.0803	450	5.0	0.28%	0.013	0.1506	0.95	0.09	138.587	138.573	0.00	140.40	1.81	53.3%
CP 12	Main 0	S 21	1001	10.00	104 10	0.0196	200	26.7	1 00%	0.012	0.0229	1.04	0.42	120 140	120 072	0.20	140.20	1 16	E6 7%
CB 13	Main 9	5-21	1091	10.00	104.19	0.0100	200	20.7	1.00%	0.013	0.0320	1.04	0.43	139.140	130.073	0.30	140.30	1.10	50.7%
Main 9	STM MH05			10.78	100.26	0.0979	450	38.3	0.28%	0.013	0.1506	0.95	0.67	138.573	138.466	0.08	140.40	1.83	65.0%
CB 14	STM MH05	S-22	997	10.00	104.19	0.0213	200	3.0	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.05	138.741	138.711	0.33	140.25	1.51	65.0%
STM MH05	Main 10			11.45	97.11	0.1147	525	37.0	0.28%	0.013	0.2284	1.05	0.58	138.386	138.281	0.00	140.40	2.01	50.2%
CB 15	Main 10	S-24	997	10.00	104.19	0.0218	200	3.0	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.05	138.671	138.641	0.36	140.30	1.63	66.5%
Building B	Main 10	S-23	1200	10.00	104.19	0.0313	200	10.0	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.16	138.631	138.531	0.25	140.60	1.97	95.3%
Main 10	Main 11			12.03	94.55	0.1598	525	36.7	0.28%	0.013	0.2284	1.05	0.58	138.281	138.178	0.00	140.40	2.12	70.0%
05.40		0.40		10.00	40.4.40	0.0400			4.000/	0.040	0.0000		0.05	400 500	400 500		440.00	4 70	56.00(
CB 16	Main 11	S-18	841	10.00	104.19	0.0186	200	3.0	1.00%	0.013	0.0328	1.04	0.05	138.538	138.508	0.33	140.30	1.76	56.8%
Main 11	STM MH03			12.61	92.15	0.1722	525	18.7	0.28%	0.013	0.2284	1.05	0.30	138.178	138.125	0.08	140.40	2.22	75.4%
STM MH03	STM MH04			14.17	86.33	0.3915	600	4.0	0.50%	0.013	0.4342	1.54	0.04	138.050	138.030	0.02	140.25	2.20	90.2%
STM MH04	EX STM MH			14.22	86.18	0.3908	600	17.1	0.44%	0.013	0.4073	1.44	0.20	138.010	137.935	0.08	140.55	2.54	96.0%
														ex 750 conc	137.785				

### 430 Ottawa Street SPA

430 Ottawa Street, Almonte, ON Project: 221-06853-00 Date: March 27, 2023

#### **ON-Site Storage Calculation - Storage Required for the Entire Site**

5-Year Maximum Allowable Release Rate from the Site:

89.0 L/s

100-Year Maximum Allowable Release Rate from the Site:

155.0 L/s

#### Post Dev run-off Coefficient "C"

			2 & 5	Year Event	100 Year Event					
Area	Surface	На	"C"	C <sub>avg</sub>	"C" x 1.25	C <sub>100 avg</sub>				
Total	Roof	0.237	0.90	0.64	0.99	0.72				
2.551	Pavement	1.383	0.90		0.99					
	Grass	0.931	0.20		0.25					

\*Areas are approximate based on Architectural site plan and Storm Draiange Area Plan

#### **QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENTS - 5 Year**

2.551 = Area(ha)

0.64 = C

89.0 I/s = max allowable release rate

Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Controlled	Net Runoff To	Storage	Storage	
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Be Stored (L/s)	Req'd m <sup>3</sup>	Avail m <sup>3</sup>	
	10	104.19	472.81	89.00	383.81	230.29	797.40	
	20	70.25	318.79	89.00	229.79	275.75	797.40	
	30	53.93	244.72	89.00	155.72	280.29	797.40	
5 YEAR	40	44.18	200.50	89.00	111.50	267.61	797.40	
	50	37.65	170.86	89.00	81.86	245.59	797.40	
	60	32.94	149.49	89.00	60.49	217.77	797.40	

#### **QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENTS - 100 Year**

2.551 = Area(ha)

0.72 = \*C

94.0 I/s = max allowable release rate
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Controlled	Net Runoff To	Storage	Storage	
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Be Stored (L/s)	Req'd m <sup>3</sup>	Avail m <sup>3</sup>	
	10	178.56	911.56	93.99	817.57	490.54	797.40	
	20	119.95	119.95 612.36		518.37	622.04	797.40	
100 YEAR	30	91.87	468.99	93.99	375.01	675.01	797.40	
	40	75.15	383.62	93.99	289.63	695.12	797.40	
	50	63.95	326.49	93.99	232.50	697.51	797.40	
	60	55.89	285.35	93.99	191.36	688.89	797.40	
	70	49.79	254.18	93.99	160.19	672.80	797.40	
	80	44.99	229.68	93.99	135.69	651.33	797.40	

### Equations:

Flow Equation Q = 2.78 x C x I x A Where: C is the runoff coefficient I is the intensity of rainfall, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area

### 430 Ottawa Street SPA

430 Ottawa Street, Almonte, ON Project: 221-06853-00 Date: March 27, 2023

#### **ON-Site Storage Calculation - Storage Required for Phase 1**

5-Year Maximum Allowable Release Rate from the Site:

13.5 L/s

100-Year Maximum Allowable Release Rate from the Site:

27.4 L/s

#### Post Dev run-off Coefficient "C"

			2 & 5	Year Event	100 Year E	vent
Area	Surface	На	"C"	C <sub>avg</sub>	"C" x 1.25	C <sub>100 avg</sub>
Total	Roof	0.117	0.90	0.77	0.99	0.85
0.343	Pavement	0.162	0.90		0.99	
	Grass	0.065	0.20		0.25	

\*Areas are approximate based on Architectural site plan and Storm Draiange Area Plan

### **QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENTS - 5 Year**

0.343 = Area(ha)

0.77 = C

13.5 I/s = max allowable release rate

Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Controlled	Net Runoff To	Storage	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Be Stored (L/s)	Req'd m <sup>3</sup>	Avail m <sup>3</sup>
	10	104.19	76.50	13.50	.50 63.00 37.80		153.20
	20	70.25	51.58	13.50	38.08	45.70	153.20
	30	53.93	39.60	13.50	26.10	46.97	153.20
5 YEAR	40	44.18	32.44	13.50	18.94	45.46	153.20
	50	37.65	27.65	13.50	14.15	42.44	153.20
	60	32.94	24.19	13.50	10.69	38.48	153.20

#### **QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENTS - 100 Year**

0.343 = Area(ha)

0.85 = \*C

27.4 I/s = max allowable release rate

Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Controlled	Net Runoff To	Storage	Storage	
Period	(min)	(mm/nr)	Q (L/S)	Runoff (L/S)	Be Stored (L/S)	Requili	Avairiii	
	10	178.56	144.72	27.40	117.32	70.39	153.20	
	20	119.95	97.22	27.40	69.82	69.82 83.79		
100 YEAR	30	91.87	74.46	27.40	47.06	84.71	153.20	
	40	75.15	60.91	27.40	33.51	80.41	153.20	
	50	63.95	51.84	27.40	24.44	73.31	153.20	
	60	55.89	45.30	27.40	17.90	64.45	153.20	
	70	49.79	40.35	27.40	12.95	54.41	153.20	
	80	44.99	36.47	27.40	9.07	43.51	153.20	

### Equations:

Flow Equation Q = 2.78 x C x I x A Where: C is the runoff coefficient I is the intensity of rainfall, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area





rovince:	Ontario	I	Project Name:	430 Ottawa Street			
City:	Ottawa		Project Number:	211-06853-00			
Nearest Rainfall Station:	OTTAWA CDA RCS		Designer Name:	Kathryn Kerker			
Climate Station Id:	6105978		Designer Company:	WSP			
ears of Rainfall Data:	20		Designer Email:	kathryn.kerker@w	sp.com		
			Designer Phone:	613-690-1206			
Site Name:	430 Ottawa Street		EOR Name:				
Drainage Area (ha):	2.513	1	EOR Company:				
Runoff Coefficient 'c':	0.65	1	EOR Email:				
I		l	EOR Phone:				
Particle Size Distribution:	Fine			Net Annua	l Sediment		
Farget TSS Removal (%):			(TSS) Load	Reduction			
				Sizing S	ummary		
Required Water Quality Runof	f Volume Capture (%):	90.00		Stormcentor	TSS Removal		
Estimated Water Quality Flow Rate (L/s):		52.72		Model	Provided (%)		
Dil / Fuel Spill Risk Site?		Yes		FFO4	63		
 Jpstream Flow Control?		Yes		EFOG	77		
 Jpstream Orifice Control Flow	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s):	88.60			96		
	Elow Rate (1/s):			EFU8	00		
				EFO10	90		
ite Sediment Transport Rate	kg/ha/yr):			EFO12	95		
			Recommended S	tormceptor EFO	Model: EF		
	Estimate	d Net An	nual Sediment (T	SS) Load Reduct	ion (%):		
				ff Volume Cont			
		VV		on volume capt	uie ( <i>1</i> %). – –		



Forterra



#### THIRD-PARTY TESTING AND VERIFICATION

► Stormceptor® EF and Stormceptor® EFO are the latest evolutions in the Stormceptor® oil-grit separator (OGS) technology series, and are designed to remove a wide variety of pollutants from stormwater and snowmelt runoff. These technologies have been third-party tested in accordance with the Canadian ETV Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators and performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) protocol.

#### PERFORMANCE

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO remove stormwater pollutants through gravity separation and floatation, and feature a patentpending design that generates positive removal of total suspended solids (TSS) throughout each storm event, including highintensity storms. Captured pollutants include sediment, free oils, and sediment-bound pollutants such as nutrients, heavy metals, and petroleum hydrocarbons. Stormceptor is sized to remove a high level of TSS from the frequent rainfall events that contribute the vast majority of annual runoff volume and pollutant load. The technology incorporates an internal bypass to convey excessive stormwater flows from high-intensity storms through the device without resuspension and washout (scour) of previously captured pollutants. Proper routine maintenance ensures high pollutant removal performance and protection of downstream waterwavs.

#### PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (PSD)

► The **Canadian ETV PSD** shown in the table below was used, or in part, for this sizing. This is the identical PSD that is referenced in the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** for both sediment removal testing and scour testing. The Canadian ETV PSD contains a wide range of particle sizes in the sand and silt fractions, and is considered reasonably representative of the particle size fractions found in typical urban stormwater runoff.

Particle	Percent Less	Particle Size	Dercent
Size (µm)	Than	Fraction (µm)	Percent
1000	100	500-1000	5
500	95	250-500	5
250	90	150-250	15
150	75	100-150	15
100	60	75-100	10
75	50	50-75	5
50	45	20-50	10
20	35	8-20	15
8	20	5-8	10
5	10	2-5	5
2	5	<2	5





		Ups	stream Flow	v Controlle	ed Results			
Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)
0.5	8.6	8.6	2.27	136.0	29.0	100	8.6	8.6
1	20.3	29.0	4.54	272.0	58.0	100	20.3	29.0
2	16.2	45.2	9.08	545.0	116.0	95	15.3	44.3
3	12.0	57.2	13.62	817.0	174.0	87	10.4	54.7
4	8.4	65.6	18.16	1090.0	232.0	82	6.9	61.6
5	5.9	71.6	22.70	1362.0	290.0	79	4.7	66.3
6	4.6	76.2	27.25	1635.0	348.0	77	3.5	69.9
7	3.1	79.3	31.79	1907.0	406.0	74	2.3	72.1
8	2.7	82.0	36.33	2180.0	464.0	71	1.9	74.1
9	3.3	85.3	40.87	2452.0	522.0	68	2.3	76.4
10	2.3	87.6	45.41	2725.0	580.0	66	1.5	77.9
11	1.6	89.2	49.95	2997.0	638.0	64	1.0	78.9
12	1.3	90.5	54.49	3270.0	696.0	64	0.8	79.7
13	1.7	92.2	59.03	3542.0	754.0	63	1.1	80.8
14	1.2	93.5	63.57	3814.0	812.0	63	0.8	81.6
15	1.2	94.6	68.11	4087.0	870.0	63	0.7	82.3
16	0.7	95.3	72.66	4359.0	928.0	62	0.4	82.7
17	0.7	96.1	77.20	4632.0	985.0	62	0.5	83.2
18	0.4	96.5	81.74	4904.0	1043.0	61	0.2	83.4
19	3.5	100.0	86.28	5177.0	1101.0	59	2.1	85.5
20	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
21	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
22	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
23	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
24	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
25	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
30	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
35	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
40	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
45	0.0	100.0	89.00	5340.0	1136.0	59	0.0	85.5
			Es	timated Ne	t Annual Sedim	ent (TSS) Loa	d Reduction =	86 %

Climate Station ID: 6105978 Years of Rainfall Data: 20



## Stormceptor<sup>®</sup>

### Stormceptor<sup>®</sup>EF Sizing Report





FORTERRA



	Maximum Pipe Diameter / Peak Conveyance												
Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Min Angle Inlet / Outlet Pipes	Max Inle Diame	et Pipe eter	Max Out Diame	let Pipe eter	Peak Conveyance Flow Rate					
	(m)	(ft)		(mm)	(in)	(mm)	(in)	(L/s)	(cfs)				
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	90	609	24	609	24	425	15				
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	90	914	36	914	36	990	35				
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	90	1219	48	1219	48	1700	60				
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100				
EF12 / EF012	3.6	12	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100				

#### SCOUR PREVENTION AND ONLINE CONFIGURATION

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO feature an internal bypass and superior scour prevention technology that have been demonstrated in third-party testing according to the scour testing provisions of the Canadian ETV Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators, and the exceptional scour test performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 ETV protocol. As a result, Stormceptor EF and EFO are approved for online installation, eliminating the need for costly additional bypass structures, piping, and installation expense.

#### **DESIGN FLEXIBILITY**

► Stormceptor<sup>®</sup> EF and EFO offers design flexibility in one simplified platform, accepting stormwater flow from a single inlet pipe or multiple inlet pipes, and/or surface runoff through an inlet grate. The device can also serve as a junction structure, accommodate a 90-degree inlet-to-outlet bend angle, and can be modified to ensure performance in submerged conditions.

#### **OIL CAPTURE AND RETENTION**

► While Stormceptor® EF will capture and retain oil from dry weather spills and low intensity runoff, **Stormceptor® EFO** has demonstrated superior oil capture and greater than 99% oil retention in third-party testing according to the light liquid reentrainment testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Stormceptor EFO is recommended for sites where oil capture and retention is a requirement.











# 45\*-90\* 0\*-45\* 0\*-45\* 45\*-90\*

#### **INLET-TO-OUTLET DROP**

Elevation differential between inlet and outlet pipe inverts is dictated by the angle at which the inlet pipe(s) enters the unit.

0° - 45° : The inlet pipe is 1-inch (25mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

45° - 90° : The inlet pipe is 2-inches (50mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

#### HEAD LOSS

The head loss through Stormceptor EF is similar to that of a 60-degree bend structure. The applicable K value for calculating minor losses through the unit is 1.1. For submerged conditions the applicable K value is 3.0.

	Pollutant Capacity												
Stormceptor EF / EFO	Moo Diam	del eter	Depth Pipe In Sump	(Outlet vert to Floor)	Oil Vo	Oil Volume Recommended Sediment Maintenance Depth *		Recommended Sediment Maintenance Depth *		num Volume *	Maximum Sediment Mass **		
	(m)	(ft)	(m)	(ft)	(L)	(Gal)	(mm)	(in)	(L)	(ft³)	(kg)	(lb)	
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	1.52	5.0	265	70	203	8	1190	42	1904	5250	
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	1.93	6.3	610	160	305	12	3470	123	5552	15375	
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	2.59	8.5	1070	280	610	24	8780	310	14048	38750	
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	3.25	10.7	1670	440	610	24	17790	628	28464	78500	
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	3.89	12.8	2475	655	610	24	31220	1103	49952	137875	

\*Increased sump depth may be added to increase sediment storage capacity

\*\* Average density of wet packed sediment in sump = 1.6 kg/L (100 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>)

Feature	Benefit	Feature Appeals To			
Patent-pending enhanced flow treatment and scour prevention technology	Superior, verified third-party performance	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer			
Third-party verified light liquid capture	Proven performance for fuel/oil hotspot	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer,			
and retention for EFO version	locations	Site Owner			
Functions as bend, junction or inlet structure	Design flexibility	Specifying & Design Engineer			
Minimal drop between inlet and outlet	Site installation ease	Contractor			
Large diameter outlet riser for inspection	Easy maintenance access from grade	Maintenance Contractor & Site Owner			

#### STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO DRAWINGS

For standard details, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO SPECIFICATION

For specifications, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef





#### STANDARD PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR "OIL GRIT SEPARATOR" (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT DEVICE

#### PART 1 – GENERAL

#### 1.1 WORK INCLUDED

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, and designing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, with third-party testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV).

#### 1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS & PROCEDURES

ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV)

Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** 

#### 1.3 SUBMITTALS

1.3.1 All submittals, including sizing reports & shop drawings, shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail all OGS components, elevations, and sequence of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative devices shall have features identical to or greater than the specified device, including: treatment chamber diameter, treatment chamber wet volume, sediment storage volume, and oil storage volume.

1.3.3 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer, based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record.

#### PART 2 – PRODUCTS

#### 2.1 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a protected volume for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The minimum sediment & petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be as follows:

2.1.1 4 ft (1219 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
6 ft (1829 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
8 ft (2438 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
10 ft (3048 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
12 ft (3657 mm) Diameter OGS Units:

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.19 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 265 \ L \ oil \\ 3.48 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 609 \ L \ oil \\ 8.78 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 1,071 \ L \ oil \\ 17.78 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 1,673 \ L \ oil \\ 31.23 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 2,476 \ L \ oil \\ \end{array}$ 

#### PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 GENERAL

The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall







remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

#### 3.2 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in the sizing report for the specified device. Sizing of the OGS shall be determined by use of a minimum ten (10) years of local historical rainfall data provided by Environment Canada. Sizing shall also be determined by use of the sediment removal performance data derived from the ISO 14034 ETV third-party verified laboratory testing data from testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV protocol Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators, as follows:

3.2.1 Sediment removal efficiency for a given surface loading rate and its associated flow rate shall be based on sediment removal efficiency demonstrated at the seven (7) tested surface loading rates specified in the protocol, ranging 40 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> to 1400 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>, and as stated in the ISO 14034 ETV Verification Statement for the OGS device.

3.2.2 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates between 40 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> and 1400 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> shall be based on linear interpolation of data between consecutive tested surface loading rates.

3.2.3 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates less than the lowest tested surface loading rate of 40  $L/min/m^2$  shall be assumed to be identical to the sediment removal efficiency at 40  $L/min/m^2$ . No extrapolation shall be allowed that results in a sediment removal efficiency that is greater than that demonstrated at 40  $L/min/m^2$ .

3.2.4 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates greater than the highest tested surface loading rate of 1400 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> shall assume zero sediment removal for the portion of flow that exceeds 1400 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>, and shall be calculated using a simple proportioning formula, with 1400 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> in the numerator and the higher surface loading rate in the denominator, and multiplying the resulting fraction times the sediment removal efficiency at 1400 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>.

The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 2.1.

#### 3.3 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of third-party scour testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.** 

3.3.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.4 LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Program's Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators,** with results reported within the Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV verification. This reentrainment testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with low density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel. Testing is conducted on the same OGS unit tested for sediment removal to





assess whether light liquids captured after a spill are effectively retained at high flow rates.

3.4.1 For an OGS device to be an acceptable stormwater treatment device on a site where vehicular traffic occurs and the potential for an oil or fuel spill exists, the OGS device must have reported verified performance results of greater than 99% cumulative retention of LDPE plastic beads for the five specified surface loading rates (ranging 200 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> to 2600 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>) in accordance with the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing within the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.** However, an OGS device shall not be allowed if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the LDPE plastic beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.



# **DRAWING NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION**



#### **GENERAL NOTES:**

- \* MAXIMUM SURFACE LOADING RATE (SLR) INTO LOWER CHAMBER THROUGH DROP PIPE IS 1135 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> (27.9 gpm/ft<sup>2</sup>) FOR STORMCEPTOR EF8 AND 535 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> (13.1 gpm/ft<sup>2</sup>) FOR STORMCEPTOR EF08 (OIL CAPTURE CONFIGURATION).
- 1. ALL DIMENSIONS INDICATED ARE IN MILLIMETERS (INCHES) UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
- 2. STORMCEPTOR STRUCTURE INLET AND OUTLET PIPE SIZE AND ORIENTATION SHOWN FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.
- 3. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, BYPASS INFRASTRUCTURE, SUCH AS ALL UPSTREAM DIVERSION STRUCTURES, CONNECTING STRUCTURES, OR PIPE CONDUITS CONNECTING TO COMPLETE THE STORMCEPTOR SYSTEM SHALL BE PROVIDED AND ADDRESSED SEPARATELY.
- 4. DRAWING FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY. REFER TO ENGINEER'S SITE/UTILITY PLAN FOR STRUCTURE ORIENTATION.
- 5. NO PRODUCT SUBSTITUTIONS SHALL BE ACCEPTED UNLESS SUBMITTED 10 DAYS PRIOR TO PROJECT BID DATE, OR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD.

FOR SITE SPECIFIC DRAWINGS PLEASE CONTACT YOUR LOCAL STORMCEPTOR REPRESENTATIVE. SITE SPECIFIC DRAWINGS ARE BASED ON THE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION AT THE TIME. SOME FIELD REVISIONS TO THE SYSTEM LOCATION OR CONNECTION PIPING MAY BE NECESSARY BASED ON AVAILABLE SPACE OR SITE CONFIGURATION REVISIONS. ELEVATIONS SHOULD BE MAINTAINED EXCEPT WHERE NOTED ON BYPASS STRUCTURE (IF REQUIRED).

#### INSTALLATION NOTES

- A. ANY SUB-BASE, BACKFILL DEPTH, AND/OR ANTI-FLOTATION PROVISIONS ARE SITE-SPECIFIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND SHALL BE SPECIFIED BY ENGINEER OF RECORD.
- B. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE EQUIPMENT WITH SUFFICIENT LIFTING AND REACH CAPACITY TO LIFT AND SET THE STRUCTURE (LIFTING CLUTCHES PROVIDED)
- C. CONTRACTOR WILL INSTALL AND LEVEL THE STRUCTURE, SEALING THE JOINTS, LINE ENTRY AND EXIT POINTS (NON-SHRINK GROUT WITH APPROVED WATERSTOP OR FLEXIBLE BOOT)
- D. CONTRACTOR TO TAKE APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO PROTECT THE DEVICE FROM CONSTRUCTION-RELATED EROSION RUNOFF.
- E. DEVICE ACTIVATION, BY CONTRACTOR, SHALL OCCUR ONLY AFTER SITE HAS BEEN STABILIZED AND THE STORMCEPTOR UNIT IS CLEAN AND FREE OF DEBRIS

# STANDARD DETAIL NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



						The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer	and contractor by Imbrium Systems ("Imbrium"). Natither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be	the prior written consent of imbrium. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and imbrium expressly	discinims any liability or responsibility for such use. If discretionneise between the supplied information upon	which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrements must be removed to furthing more disc	the repeated into the design. Imbrum accepts no for re-evaluation of the design. Imbrum accepts no lability for designs based on missing, hoomplete or	inaccurate information supplied by others.
				<u> </u>			#####	####	####	JSK	JSK	ΒY
PLAN VIEW (STANDARD)									#####	OUTLET PLATFORM	INITIAL RELEASE	REVISION DESCRIPTION
and the second			####	####	####	6/8/18	5/26/17	DATE				
		4	<.			-	###	###	###	1	0	ARK
			à				#	#	#			Σ
PLAN VIEW (INL				TLE	T							SCALE = NTS
SITE SPECIEIC DA	ТΔ	REOL		FN	JTS					3A9 6-860-880	THE PARTY OF THE P	
STORMCEPTOR MODEL	. <u>/</u>	EF	08	_ • `					E	N LIN	COT THE FOLL	
STRUCTURE ID	-				*				2	VHITBY	NONE OR MOI	A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T
		Q'D (L)			*		Ó			V DRIVE. \ 416-961	- 707,100 - 728	
WATER QUALITY FLOW RATE (L/s)     *       PEAK FLOW RATE (L/s)     *									Ξ	FAIRVIER 4801 CJ	TOH CHERKIN WALKA COD, 164	
RETURN PERIOD OF PEAK FLOW (yrs) *										407	E STOMADE Australia Pa	
DRAINAGE AREA (HA) *										I⊭	÷.	• <u>1</u>
	USI ''I	NESS (%) □ DIA		<u>م</u>	HCi	10/	/13/2	2017 D:	, Tr	RA\//	N:	
INLET #1 * *	-	*	*	70	*	JS	K	<i>.</i>	,	JSK		
INLET #2 * *		*	*		*	CHE BS	CKED F	):	A ,	*	OVED:	
OUTLET * *		*	*		*	PRO EF	JECT	No.:	S	EQUE	NCE	No.:
* PER ENGINEER OF RECOR	D					SHE	ET:	1		OF	1	